



Summons to Attend

Full Council

Please note: this meeting may be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site - at the start of the meeting the Mayor will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed. The images and sound recording may be used for training purposes within the Council.

Generally the public seating areas are not filmed. However by entering the meeting room and using the public seating area, you are consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes.

If you have any queries regarding this, please contact the Principal Support Officer (Committee Clerk) at the meeting.

To: The Mayor and Councillors of Haringey Council.

Dear Sir/Madam,

A meeting of the Council of the London Borough of Haringey will be held at the Civic Centre, High Road, Wood Green, N22 8LE on MONDAY, 19TH JANUARY, 2009 at 19:30 HRS, to transact the following business:

AGENDA

- 1. TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**
- 2. TO ASK THE MAYOR TO CONSIDER THE ADMISSION OF ANY LATE ITEMS OF BUSINESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 100B OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972**
- 3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

A member with a personal interest in a matter who attends a meeting of the authority at which the matter is considered must disclose to that meeting the existence and nature

of that interest at the commencement of that consideration, or when the interest becomes apparent.

A member with a personal interest in a matter also has a prejudicial interest in that matter if the interest is one which a member of the public with knowledge of the relevant facts would reasonably regard as so significant that it is likely to prejudice the member's judgment of the public interest and if this interest affects their financial position or the financial position of a person or body as described in paragraph 8 of the Code of Conduct and/or if it relates to the determining of any approval, consent, licence, permission or registration in relation to them or any person or body described in paragraph 8 of the Code of Conduct.

4. **TO APPROVE AS A CORRECT RECORD THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON 24 NOVEMBER AND THE EXTRAORDINARY MEETINGS HELD ON 9 & 17 DECEMBER 2008. (PAGES 1 - 16)**
5. **TO RECEIVE SUCH COMMUNICATIONS AS THE MAYOR MAY LAY BEFORE THE COUNCIL**
6. **TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE**
7. **TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE MONITORING OFFICER AND HEAD OF LEGAL SERVICES TO INCREASE THE INDEPENDENT MEMBERSHIP FOR THE STANDARDS COMMITTEE (PAGES 17 - 20)**
8. **TO MAKE APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES**
9. **TO CONSIDER REQUESTS TO RECEIVE DEPUTATIONS AND/OR PETITIONS AND, IF APPROVED, TO RECEIVE THEM**
10. **TO CONSIDER OPPOSITION BUSINESS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE NO.12**
11. **TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, IF ANY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NOS. 9 & 10**

Oral Question 1 - To the Leader of the Council from Councillor Gorrie:

Which of the major decisions, or decisions on individual cases, taken by the now discredited previous senior management of Children's Services, are going to be reviewed in the light of the JAR and Ofsted report on the poor quality of that decision making?

Oral Question 2 – To the Cabinet Member for Housing Services from Councillor Bull:

Can the cabinet member update us on the implementation of Introductory Tenancies and; what impact they feel this will have on antisocial behaviour?

Oral Question 3 - To the Cabinet Member for Resources from Councillor Williams:

With regard to the published summary of Standards Board for England case SBE21513.08, can he explain in what circumstances he feels it is appropriate to obtain independent legal advice while discharging his duties a Haringey Cabinet member?

Oral Question 4 - To the Cabinet Member for Community Cohesion and Involvement from Councillor Jones:

Will the cabinet member let us know what actions he has taken, or will be taking to improve the service provided by the council's customer service centres following his visits to them in late December?

Oral Question 5 –To the Leader of the Council from Councillor Winskill:

In response to a question I posed to the Leader at December's OSC about the press and PR arrangements during the baby P case, the names of three PR and media companies were declared exempt because one did not want to have its identity revealed for fear of the negative impact of being associated with Haringey. Are there any other suppliers, contractors, consultants or others, who do or wish to do business with Haringey, that have made the same or similar conditions about revealing their name(s)? If so, how many and what areas of the council services do they represent?

Oral Question 6 - To the Cabinet Member for Environment and Conservation from Councillor Dodds:

Will the cabinet member provide some detail about the proposed action plan to address non-compliant lines and signs?

Oral Question 7 - To the Cabinet member for Environment and Conservation from Councillor Weber:

Will the Cabinet Member for Environment please explain for public accountability purposes why residents CPZ petitions, requesting inclusion or exclusion of the slow CPZ process or the Fast Track CPZ process are not placed in the public domain for all to see?

Oral Question 8 – To the Cabinet Member for Environment and Conservation from Councillor Demirci:

Can the cabinet member outline what improvements will be made to public footpaths following the announcement of the Council's rights of way improvement plan?

12. TO RECEIVE REPORTS FROM THE FOLLOWING BODIES (PAGES 21 - 78)

- a) Cabinet – Report 8 & 9 – 2008/9

13. TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING MOTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NO. 13

Motion M (2008/09)

Councillor Alexander has given notice that she will move in the following terms:

“This Council notes:

- that 16 and 17 year olds can leave school, get married, have a full-time job, must pay taxes on their income, and can join the armed forces, but are not allowed to vote in public elections;
- that 16 and 17 year olds have been calling for the right to vote for many years through the Votes at 16 coalition;
- that disconnection between young people and the political establishment is growing.
- the continuing good work by Haringey’s Youth Council and its members

This Council believes:

- that young people are interested in politics and have valid views on issues that affect them;
- that 16 and 17 year olds are old enough to have the right to vote in public elections;
- that refusing to allow 16 and 17 year olds to vote is likely to further depress turnout and encourage young people to give up on formal political structures.

This Council resolves:

- to support the moves to lower the voting age for all public elections to 16;
- to call on the Government to establish the Youth Citizenship Commission with a clear remit to develop the necessary framework for reducing the voting age to 16.
- that the leader of the Council write to Bridget Prentice MP, minister in charge of reform in electoral administration, to show the Council’s commitment to pushing for votes for 16 and 17 year old and requesting that the government seriously considers decreasing the voting age”.

Motion N (2008/09)

Councillor Scott has given notice that he will move in the following terms:

“This Council:

1. Welcomes the introduction of the Sustainable Communities Act, which became law in October 2007. It also welcomes the establishment of the principle that 'local people know best what needs to be done'.
2. Notes the cross party support in the House of Commons that the Act received.
3. Notes that the Act gives local authorities the power to make proposals to government on the action government must take to reverse community decline and promote sustainable communities.
4. Notes that the Act also gives local authorities the power to argue for a transfer of public money and function from central to local control.
5. Notes that the Act also requires central government to produce "spending reports" detailing the expenditure of all government bodies in each local area. The Act requires that the first arrangements for the spending reports should be taken by April 2009.
6. Views the Act as an opportunity to further the empowerment of local communities in seeking local reforms regarding Economic, Social and Environmental issues.
7. Appreciates the need to be fully committed to the process to ensure that the residents of Haringey have a chance to have their say on local services.

This Council therefore:

1. Resolves, when invited to by central government in autumn 2008, to use the Act by preparing and submitting proposals on how central government can help promote sustainable communities in Haringey.
2. Seeks to establish a representative panel of residents to consult with the Council in deciding on which local issues will be submitted to the Communities and Local Government Minister.
3. Petitions the government to release the planned information regarding local funding for services as soon as possible.
4. Advertises the Act as widely as possible using all forms of media to inform residents of the acts existence and how they can get involved.
5. Looks for other authorities and stakeholders (including the Voluntary and Community Sectors) who can assist/support the development of the local panels and formulate these ideas into practical submissions to the government.
6. Looks at replacing the current community engagement (through citizen panels etc) with these proposed representative panels".

Motion O (2008/09)

Councillor Peacock has given notice that she will move in the following terms:

Mobility Benefits for Blind Residents

“This Council Notes:

1. That blind people experience significant barriers to independent mobility
2. That blind people are often isolated and find it difficult to access basic services without mobility assistance
3. That blind residents face additional costs as a result of their impairment, such as using taxis or private hire vehicles

This Council Further Notes:

1. That blind people are not entitled to the higher rate mobility component of disability living allowance
2. Loss of usable sight clearly causes significant independent mobility problems and incurs significant additional and unmet costs

This Council resolves:

1. To ask the Chief Executive and Leader of the Council to write to the Secretary of State for Work & Pensions, the Rt Hon. James Purnell MP, expressing these concerns
2. To ask the Chief Executive and Leader of the Council to write to our local MPs asking that they sign up to EDM number 1982 and write to the Secretary of State expressing these concerns
3. To support the Royal National Institute of Blind People’s (RNIB) campaign on securing the higher rate mobility component of DLA for blind people”.

Motion P (2008/09)

Councillor Mughal has given notice that he will move in the following terms:

“This Council:

Fully understands that the growing and significant crisis in the Middle East is having impacts in the Borough of Haringey. Residents have links, family and ancestral roots in Palestine, Israel and other countries in the region and there are concerns around the conflict spilling over into other regions of the Middle East. Significant anger is also building up on events in the Middle East and this Council acknowledges the passions that this conflict inflames.

This Council also notes the inaction of the international community in immediately asking for and working towards a ceasefire when the invasion of Gaza took place and that politicians have finally been roused into action only after mass protests in countries across the world. This Council further notes the fact that Gordon Brown, like Tony Blair, has made British foreign policy effectively subservient to Washington and waiting for a change of heart in Washington is intolerable given the continuing human cost in Gaza.

This Council unambiguously condemns Israel's invasion of Gaza and tactics which have led to over 40% of Palestinian casualties being civilians and this Council also calls for an end to the blockade of Gaza and to the firing of rockets into Israel by Hamas and the deaths that these have caused. This Council calls on the Prime Minister to lead the EU into using its economic and diplomatic leverage in the region to broker peace and it must immediately suspend the proposed new co-operation agreement in Israel until things change in Gaza.

This Council also asks the Prime Minister to halt Britain's arms export to Israel and persuade our EU counterparts to do the same. The Government's own figures show Britain is selling more and more weapons to Israel, despite the questions about the country's use of force. In 2007, our government approved £6m of arms exports. In 2008, it licensed sales 12 times as fast: £20m in the first three months alone”.

Dr Ita O'Donovan
Chief Executive
River Park House
225 High Road
Wood Green
London N22 8HQ

Friday, 9 January 2009

This page is intentionally left blank

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 24 NOVEMBER 2008**

Councillors Dobbie (Mayor), Adamou, Adje, Aitken, Alexander, Allison, Amin, Baker, Basu, Beacham, Bevan, Beynon, Bloch, Bull, Butcher, Canver, Cooke, Demirci, Diakides, Dodds, Dogus, Edge, Egan, Engert, Gorrie, Griffith, Haley, Hare, B. Harris, C. Harris, Jones, Rahman Khan, Kober, Lister, Mallett, Meehan, Mughal, Newton, Oakes, Oatway, Patel, Peacock, Rainger, Reid, Reith, Santry, Stanton, Thompson, Vanier, Weber, Whyte, Williams, Wilson, Winskill and Scott

Apologies Councillor Davies

MINUTE NO.	SUBJECT/DECISION	ACTION BY
CNCL47.	<p>MAYOR'S STATEMENT</p> <p>The Mayor expressed the Council's deep sorrow for the events which lead up to the death of "Baby P" and for the suffering he endured and for the failure of all the child protection agencies involved to protect him.</p> <p>The Mayor made a brief statement in respect of the conduct of the proceedings, the legal duty to safeguard against disclosure of confidential information, and webcasting of the meeting.</p> <p>Councillor Whyte referred to the briefing note that had been circulated at the meeting covering the restrictions on debate for reasons of confidentiality. Councillor Whyte asked why the interaction between parties was an area of restriction. The Monitoring Officer responded explaining the basis for this restriction.</p>	
CNCL48.	<p>TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</p> <p>Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Davies.</p>	
CNCL49.	<p>TO ASK THE MAYOR TO CONSIDER THE ADMISSION OF ANY LATE ITEMS OF BUSINESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 100B OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972</p> <p>See Minutes 58 and 62.</p>	
CNCL50.	<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</p> <p>Councillor Kober declared a personal interest in Item 11,12 and 15 as she was an employee of Family Action in a policy role. The organisation had been involved in advising on this case.</p> <p>Councillor Lister declared a personal interest in Item 11,12 and 15 as he</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 24 NOVEMBER 2008**

	<p>was an employee of UNISON. There may be implications for Council staff members of UNISON arising from the business under discussion.</p> <p>Councillor Dobbie declared a personal interest in Item 11,12 and 15 as he was an employee of the North Middlesex NHS Trust Eye Unit.</p> <p>Councillor Adje declared a personal interest in Item 11,12 and 15 as he was an employee of the LFCDA and a Branch Official of the GMB Union. There may be implications for Council staff members of GMB arising from the business under discussion.</p>	
CNCL51.	<p>TO APPROVE AS A CORRECT RECORD THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON 13 OCTOBER 2008</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 13 October 2008 be signed as a true record.</p>	
CNCL52.	<p>TO RECEIVE SUCH COMMUNICATIONS AS THE MAYOR MAY LAY BEFORE THE COUNCIL</p> <p>1. The Mayor expressed his deep sadness at the death of much-loved colleague, Councillor Fred Knight.</p> <p>The Mayor advised that Councillor Knight had served on the former Tottenham Council from 1961 until Haringey became a Borough in 1965 and continually served on the Council in the Seven Sisters ward until his death two weeks ago.</p> <p>The Mayor advised that Councillor Knight had been the only Councillor to have served this Borough three times as Mayor, in 1974-75, 1989-90 and 1991-92 with his beloved wife Bella by his side. He was active in politics holding numerous positions on the Council, but always caring passionately about youth and sports services in the Borough and right up until the end believed firmly in Civic duty, hence his attendance at the Remembrance Day service.</p> <p>The Mayor commented that he knew that there would be many members who would have their own thoughts about Councillor Knight and would be sharing these at his funeral on Monday 8th December and memorial at the Fred Knight Centre in Tottenham afterwards.</p> <p>The Mayor commented that one of the nicest comments he had seen expressed was from one Councillor who wrote; "Although life is for a fixed period, the death of Councillor Fred Knight is a great shock to me. I found him to be an excellent Councillor who discharged the duties of Mayor several times with dignity and honour, also I found him "super" as the Chair with responsibility of voluntary and community organizations in Haringey. He was simple, responsible, not sectarian, very neutral, friendly and useful. I believe that we should follow his good qualities in governing the Borough of Haringey. I Pray for his eternal peace".</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 24 NOVEMBER 2008**

	<p>The Mayor commented that he was certain that the comments expressed were something that the whole Council could agree upon and that the Council's deepest sympathies be passed to Councillor Knight's two daughters and family and to all who loved him.</p> <p>2. The Mayor also announced the death of former Councillor Glenys Atkinson. Councillor Atkinson had been born in Wales, but a Londoner for most of her life, who had been passionate in her desire to achieve a secondary education system that strove for high standards but included all young people. She had been in the forefront of the campaign to introduce Comprehensive Education in Haringey in the early 1960s, and later became an elected Councillor, representing West Green Ward from 1971-1982 and in her later years as a Councillor she was Chair of the Schools Sub-Committee, and Vice-Chair then Chair of the Education Committee.</p> <p>The Mayor quoted her former ward councillor colleague, Stephen Whittle; "She will be remembered for her vivaciousness and the dedication and enthusiasm that she showed in all of the tasks she undertook."</p> <p>3. The Mayor, further to his earlier comments at the commencement of the proceedings, informed the meeting that no one in Haringey and the Nation could have failed to have not been touched by the tragic death of baby "P". The Mayor stated that in the Council's sorrow for his death, it would be right to pause to reflect on the life that could have been and that to pray for his eternal peace.</p> <p>The Mayor commented that it was traditional following the death of people who served the council to observe a minute's silence, and that the Council should now stand for a minute's silence in memory of Councillors Knight, and Atkinson and also for the child who died in our borough.</p> <p>The Council stood and observed a minute's silence in memory of Councillors Knight and Atkinson and also for the child who died the Borough.</p>	
<p>CNCL53.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE A STATEMENT BY THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL</p> <p>The meeting received a statement by the Leader of the Council in respect of the tragic death of Baby 'P' and gave, on behalf of the London Borough of Haringey Council an unreserved and heart felt apology for the death of 'Baby P'.</p> <p>The Leader of the opposition responded to the sentiments expressed.</p>	
<p>CNCL54.</p>	<p>CHANGE OF ORDER OF BUSINESS</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 24 NOVEMBER 2008**

	<p>The Mayor reported that he had been advised of a proposal to vary the order of business to consider next the statement of the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People, followed by a response from Councillor Engert and then questions from members. This would be followed immediately by Opposition Business and then Motion K and amendments thereto.</p> <p>The meeting agreed to the variation of business.</p>	
CNCL55.	<p>TO RECEIVE A STATEMENT FROM THE CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE</p> <p>The Cabinet Member for Children and Young People made a statement in relation to the death of Baby 'P' and stated once again how sorry she was that we failed to protect him. She also offered her personal apology and condolences to Baby P's father.</p> <p>The meeting heard the outline of the tragic facts of Baby P's short life.</p> <p>Councillor Santry concluded "that the important thing now was to make our child protection procedures as strong as possible. We need to wait until we know the outcome of the inspectors' report and the Secretary of State's decision in a week's time. At that point in time we shall have further decisions to make. I say again how very sad we are about the death of Baby P and reiterate once again the Leader's apology on behalf of the Council to all those close to the Baby P, the residents of Haringey, and the wider public".</p> <p>Councillor Engert responded on behalf of the Oppositon.</p> <p>Councillor Santry responded to a number of questions and points of clarification from members.</p>	
CNCL56.	<p>TO CONSIDER OPPOSITION BUSINESS SUBMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULE NO12.</p> <p>The opposition gave notice of their wish to debate Baby 'P'.</p> <p>A number of Members spoke on behalf of the Opposition Group and Councillor Santry responded.</p>	
CNCL57.	<p>TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING MOTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NO. 13</p> <p><u>Motion K (2008/09)</u></p> <p>It was moved by Councillor Gorrie and seconded by Councillor Williams that:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">This Council:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Expresses its profound regret over the tragic death of Baby P, and offers our greatest sympathy to all those who knew him and cared about him.</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 24 NOVEMBER 2008**

Acknowledges the swift apologies made by police and local health services, recognising that they could have done more to help baby P.

Regrets that it took councillors in charge 48 hours to apologise on behalf of the Council for the death of Baby P and the lack of responsibility shown for the failures of their services.

Welcomes the investigation by the government as the beginning of the process to help restore public confidence in Haringey's children services but recognises that further actions are required.

Therefore:

Recognising that this is firstly an issue of leadership, given the failures of services for which they are responsible, and in light of their public response to this tragedy, this Council has no confidence in the Leader of the Council and the Cabinet member for Children and Young people.

Furthermore, calls for a fully independent public inquiry into this case and the state of Children's Services in Haringey in order that the residents of Haringey can have complete confidence in the protection of the most vulnerable members of our society.

An amendment to the Motion was moved by Councillor Reith and seconded by Councillor Lister as follows:

To leave out 'and the lack of responsibility shown for the failures of their services' at the end of the third paragraph.

To leave out the fifth and sixth paragraphs, beginning 'Therefore:'

To insert, as the fifth and sixth paragraphs:

'Therefore this Council:

Recognises that it is of the utmost importance that we are able to protect the children and young people of our borough and that the public has confidence in our ability to do so.

Resolves to implement, swiftly, decisively and fully, the recommendations of the Joint Area Review commissioned by the Secretary of State for Children, Schools and Families and will take whatever action is necessary to safeguard and protect our children.'

Other Members contributed to the debate.

Councillor Gorrie responded as mover of the original motion.

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 24 NOVEMBER 2008**

A named vote was requested in respect of the amendment to the Motion.

For the Amendment: Councillors Adamou, Adje, Amin, Basu, Bevan, Bull, Canver, Cooke, Demirci, Diakides, Dobbie, Dodds, Dogus, Egan, Griffith, Haley, B, Harris, C. Harris, Jones, Khan, Kober, Lister, Mallett, Meehan, Patel, Peacock, Reith, Santry, Stanton, Thompson, and Vanier

Against the Amendment: Councillors Aitken, Alexander, Allison, Baker, Beacham, Beynon, Bloch, Butcher, Edge, Engert, Gorrie, Hare, Newton, Oakes, Oatway, Rainger, Reid, Scott, Weber, Whyte, Williams, Wilson, and Winskill.

The amendment to the MOTION was declared CARRIED 31 to 23.

Councillor Williams requested that Council Procedure Rules be suspended to permit the remaining two amendments to be put. This was put to the meeting and declared NOT CARRIED.

The meeting agreed that, as the overall time limit of 30 minutes for individual motions had been exceeded, the remaining two amendments be not taken and that the substantive Motion as amended be put.

The substantive Motion as amended was as follows:

This Council:

Expresses its profound regret over the tragic death of baby P, and offers our greatest sympathy to all those who knew him and cared about him.

Acknowledges the swift apologies made by police and local health services, recognising that they could have done more to help Baby P.

Regrets that it took councillors in charge 48 hours to apologise on behalf of the Council for the death of baby P.

Welcomes the investigation by the government as the beginning of the process to help restore public confidence in Haringey's children services but recognises that further actions are required.

Therefore this council:

Recognises that it is of the utmost importance that we are able to protect the children and young people of our borough, and that the public has confidence in our ability to do so.

Resolves to implement, swiftly, decisively and fully, the recommendations of the Joint Area Review commissioned by the Secretary of State for Children, Schools and Families and will take whatever action is necessary to safeguard and protect our

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 24 NOVEMBER 2008**

	<p>children.</p> <p>A named vote was requested in respect of the substantive Motion as amended.</p> <p>For the Motion: Councillors Adamou, Adje, Amin, Basu, Bevan, Bull, Canver, Cooke, Demirci, Diakides, Dobbie, Dodds, Dogus, Egan, Griffith, Haley, B, Harris, C. Harris, Jones, Khan, Kober, Lister, Mallett, Meehan, Patel, Peacock, Reith, Santry, Stanton, Thompson, and Vanier.</p> <p>Against the Motion: Councillors Aitken, Alexander, Allison, Baker, Beacham, Beynon, Bloch, Butcher, Edge, Engert, Gorrie, Hare, Newton, Oakes, Oatway, Rainger, Reid, Scott, Weber, Whyte, Williams, Wilson, and Winskill.</p> <p>The substantive MOTION as amended was carried 31 to 23.</p> <p>The Mayor then adjourned the meeting for a 5 minute period. <i>The meeting adjourned at 21.45hrs and reconvened at 21.50hrs.</i></p> <p>On resuming, Councillor Gorrie stated that his Party did not consider it appropriate to continue with the business of the meeting and were going to leave.</p> <p>The Liberal Democrat Group left the meeting at this point.</p>	
<p>CNCL58.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE</p> <p>The Mayor agreed to admit the report as urgent business. The report could not be circulated earlier as information was awaited from the Party Groups. The report needed to be admitted in order that the vacancies on committees could be filled for the remainder of municipal year 2008/09.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the Liberal Democrat membership of the following Committees be agreed as follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Licensing Committee</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Councillor Scott be appointed to replace Councillor Baker .</p>	
<p>CNCL59.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE THE REPORTS OF THE MONITORING OFFICER AND HEAD OF LEGAL SERVICES</p> <p>There were no matters to report.</p>	
<p>CNCL60.</p>	<p>TO MAKE APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES</p> <p>There were no appointments to outside bodies.</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
MONDAY, 24 NOVEMBER 2008**

<p>CNCL61.</p>	<p>TO CONSIDER REQUESTS TO RECEIVE DEPUTATIONS AND/OR PETITIONS AND, IF APPROVED, TO RECEIVE THEM There were no deputations or petitions.</p>	
<p>CNCL62.</p>	<p>TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, IF ANY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NOS. 9 & 10 The Mayor agreed to the admission of this report as urgent business. Under Standing Orders, notice of questions was not requested until eight clear days before the meeting, following which matters raised had to be researched and replies prepared, in order to be given at the meeting.</p> <p>There were 8 oral questions and 25 for written answer.</p> <p>Oral Question 1 – 8 were not considered and written answer would be supplied to these questions.</p>	
<p>CNCL63.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE REPORTS FROM THE FOLLOWING BODIES</p> <p><u>CABINET REPORT 7 2008/09</u></p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the Cabinet report nos. 7 be received and adopted.</p>	
<p>CNCL64.</p>	<p>TO CONSIDER THE REMAINING MOTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NO. 13 Motions H, I and J (2008/09) were withdrawn from the proceedings.</p>	

COUNCILLOR ALAN DOBBIE

Mayor

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
TUESDAY, 9 DECEMBER 2008**

Councillors Dobbie (Mayor), Adamou, Adje, Aitken, Alexander, Allison, Amin, Beacham, Bevan, Beynon, Bloch, Bull, Butcher, Canver, Cooke, Davies, Demirci, Diakides, Dodds, Dogus, Edge, Egan, Engert, Gorrie, Griffith, Haley, Hare, B. Harris, C. Harris, Jones, Rahman Khan, Kober, Lister, Mallett, Meehan, Newton, Oakes, Oatway, Patel, Peacock, Reith, Santry, Stanton, Thompson, Vanier, Weber, Whyte, Williams, Wilson, Winskill and Scott

Apologies Councillor Baker, Basu, Rainger and Reid

Absent: Councillor Mughal.

MINUTE NO.	SUBJECT/DECISION	ACTION BY
CNCL65.	<p>TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</p> <p>Apologies for absence were received from Councillor Baker, Basu, Rainger and Reid.</p>	
CNCL66.	<p>TO ASK THE MAYOR TO CONSIDER THE ADMISSION OF ANY LATE ITEMS OF BUSINESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 100B OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972</p> <p>See Minute 69.</p> <p>At this point in the proceedings the meeting agreed to the suspension of Standing Orders in order to permit the Mayor to make a statement regarding the recent and sudden death of Mike Terry.</p> <p>The Mayor reported with great sorrow the tragic and sudden death of Mike Terry, who was known to all Members of the Council for both his tremendous dedication and tireless campaigning on behalf of the anti-apartheid movement and for a Free South Africa and as a teacher and Assistant Head at Alexandra Park School. The Mayor went on to comment that Mike Terry had combined both roles in building the links that now existed between schools here and those in South Africa and for the successful creation of the fitting memorial to Oliver Tambo in Albert Road Recreation Ground. He remembered that Mike had been a lovely, warm and self-effacing man, who would be sorely missed by his family and friends, his students, his teaching colleagues and his comrades here and in South Africa.</p> <p>Councillors Meehan, Scott and Haley also paid tribute to Mike Terry.</p> <p>The Council then stood and observed 1 minute's silence in memory of Mike Terry.</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
TUESDAY, 9 DECEMBER 2008**

<p>CNCL67.</p>	<p>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</p> <p>There were no declarations of interests.</p> <p>At this point in the proceedings Councillor Whyte sought clarification as to the reasons why the emergency motion was not being considered at this meeting.</p> <p>The Mayor asked that the Monitoring Officer respond to Councillor Whyte.</p> <p>The Monitoring Officer – Mr Suddaby advised that in accordance with Section 100B the Mayor had a discretion to admit items as urgent business where he was satisfied that the matter was urgent due to special circumstances. Mr Suddaby stated that his advice to the Mayor had been to the effect that given the issue of the emergency Motion related to the Joint Area Review (JAR) this would warrant special circumstances. The question however arose as to whether the item proposed was a matter of urgency in its nature to have a serious effect either on the Council or the Public. Certain factors needed to be weighed by the Mayor including the public's interest in the JAR, and also the fact that there had been a further extraordinary Full Council meeting called for the following week (17 December 2008) where a statement would be made in response to the findings of the JAR essentially what was being asked for in the emergency motion . Mr Suddaby advised that the preparation of statements in response to the emergency motion could be expected to be carefully considered and that therefore the Mayor could reasonably conclude that postponing a statement on the JAR to the Council meeting called for the following week would not seriously prejudice the Council or the people of Haringey.</p> <p>The Mayor thanked Mr Suddaby for his response and advised that he would not be taking the emergency motion, given that a special Full Council meeting had been confirmed which would consider the findings of the JAR and allow for a full debate.</p> <p>The Mayor responded that he was happy to put to the vote whether the emergency motion should be allowed.</p> <p>On a Motion to consider whether the emergency motion be put, there being 21 for and 30 against, the Motion was declared lost.</p>	
<p>CNCL68.</p>	<p>TO ELECT THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE MUNICIPAL YEAR 2008/09.</p> <p>Councillor Lister proposed that Councillor Claire Kober be elected Leader of the Council. Councillor Bull seconded.</p> <p>Councillor Wilson proposed that Councillor Robert Gorrie be elected Leader of the Council. Councillor Williams seconded.</p> <p>On a vote there being 30 votes for Councillor Claire Kober, and 21 votes</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
TUESDAY, 9 DECEMBER 2008**

	<p>for Councillor Robert Gorrie it was:</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That Councillor Claire Kober be elected Leader of the Council for remainder of the Municipal Year 2008/9.</p>	
<p>CNCL69.</p>	<p>TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE IN RELATION TO APPOINTMENTS TO THE CABINET, COMMITTEES, OUTSIDE BODIES, POLITICAL GROUP OFFICERS AND OTHER VACANCIES CONSEQUENT FROM THE CABINET RESIGNATIONS.</p> <p>The Mayor agreed to admit the report as urgent business. The report could not be circulated earlier as information was awaited from the Party Groups. The report needed to be admitted in order that the vacancies on the Cabinet and other non executive decision making committees could be filled for the remainder of municipal year 2008/09.</p> <p>The Chief Whip moved that the recommendations contained in the report be agreed.</p> <p>On a vote there being 30 votes for and 21 votes against it was:</p> <p>RESOLVED</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 That the appointments to Cabinet, as set out in Appendix 1 of the report, be agreed. 2 That the changes to Committees be agreed as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Alexandra Palace & Park Board.</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Remove Councillor Cooke from the membership. ▪ Councillor Egan to become Chair. ▪ Councillor Dogus to become Vice Chair. ▪ Councillor Stanton to be added to the membership. <u>Alexandra Palace Consultative Committee.</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Remove Councillor Cooke from the membership. ▪ Councillor Egan to become Chair . ▪ Councillor Dogus to become Vice Chair. ▪ Councillor Stanton to be added to the membership. <u>Alexandra Palace & Park Panel.</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Remove Councillor Cooke from the membership. ▪ Councillor Egan to become Chair . ▪ Councillor Dogus added to membership and to become Vice Chair. <u>Alexandra Palace Consultative Forum</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Remove Councillor Cooke from the membership. ▪ Councillor Egan to become Chair . ▪ Councillor Dogus added to membership and to become 	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
TUESDAY, 9 DECEMBER 2008**

	<p>Vice Chair.</p> <p><u>General Purposes Committee</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Councillor Vanier to replace Councillor Kober. <p><u>Licensing Committee</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Councillor Demirci to replace Councillor Vanier. <p><u>Miscellaneous Functions Sub-Committee</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Councillor Lister to replace Councillor Kober <p><u>Haringey Council & Employee JCC</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Councillor Kober to replace Councillor Meehan <p><u>Remuneration Committee</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Councillor Kober to replace Councillor Meehan	
3	That the changes to Outside Body Appointments, as set out in appendix 2 of the report, be agreed.	
4	That following changes to Political Group Officers be noted: Leader: Councillor Claire Kober Chief Whip: Councillor Harry Lister Chair: Councillor Ali Demirci	
5	That the staffing and related issues detailed in paragraph 9 be noted.	

COUNCILLOR ALAN DOBBIE

Mayor

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, 17 DECEMBER 2008**

Councillors	Dobbie (Mayor), Adamou, Adje, Aitken, Alexander, Allison, Amin, Beacham, Bevan, Beynon, Bull, Butcher, Canver, Cooke, Davies, Demirci, Diakides, Dodds, Dogus, Edge, Egan, Engert, Gorrie, Griffith, Hare, B. Harris, Jones, Kober, Lister, Mallett, Meehan, Mughal, Newton, Oakes, Oatway, Patel, Peacock, Rainger, Reid, Reith, Santry, Stanton, Thompson, Vanier, Whyte, Williams, Wilson, Winskill and Scott
Apologies	Councillor Baker, Basu, Bloch, Haley, C. Harris, Rahman Khan and Weber

MINUTE NO.	SUBJECT/DECISION	ACTION BY
CNCL70.	TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Baker, Basu, Bloch, Haley, C.Harris, Khan and Weber. Apologies for lateness were received from Councillor Demirci.	
CNCL71.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST Councillor Davis declared a personal interest in Items 3 and 4 as he was employee of the Health Care Commission. Councillor Kober declared a personal interest in Items 3 and 4 as she was an employee of Family Action in a central policy role. The organisation had been involved in advising on this case.	
CNCL72.	TO CONSIDER A STATEMENT FROM THE COUNCIL SETTING OUT THE APPROACH AND PRINCIPLES TO BE APPLIED IN REBUILDING HARINGEY'S CHILDREN'S SOCIAL SERVICES. The Leader of the Council and the Cabinet Member for Children and Young People gave statements setting out the approach and principles to be applied in rebuilding Haringey's children's social services. The Leader stated that she accepted the findings and recommendations of the Government's Joint Area Review and was deeply conscious of the tragic event that led to the Inspection. Whatever changes were necessary would be introduced to enable the council to regain the confidence of local residents. The Joint Area Review revealed weaknesses we were not aware of from previous inspections and the Council was committed to addressing these as part of our action plan. The Leader listed a number of areas which management attention would be focussed on and these would be incorporated into the JAR action	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, 17 DECEMBER 2008**

	<p>plan which must be with the Secretary of State on 29th February,2009.</p> <p>The Leader concluded that there was no choice other than a complete transformation in the way we discharge our child protection duties. It was vital that councillors were at the forefront of learning the lessons and driving change.</p> <p>The Leader of the Opposition, and the Shadow Cabinet Member for Children and Young People then spoke in response to the statements.</p> <p>Other Members of the Council commented on the statements.</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <p>That the statement from the Leader of the Council, and the Cabinet Member for Children and Young Peoples Service setting out the Council's approach and principles to be applied in rebuilding Haringey's children's social services be noted.</p>	
<p>CNCL73.</p>	<p>TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING MOTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NO. 13 <u>MOTION L (2008/09):</u></p> <p>It was moved by Councillor Gorrie and seconded by Councillor Aitken that:</p> <p>“This Council recognises and fully accepts the findings of the review ordered by the Secretary of State, which identified serious failures of leadership and management in Haringey and its child protection services; notes with alarm the comments from Ofsted that Haringey falsified data; and calls on the Leader of the Council and Chief Executive to seek immediate and significant central government resources and external expertise to deliver the service during this difficult period of review, repair and rebuilding so that at all times the residents of Haringey can have confidence that the most vulnerable children in the Borough are secure and properly looked after”.</p> <p>An amendment to the Motion was moved by Councillor Reith and seconded by Councillor Cooke as follows (additional text to the original Motion is underlined):</p> <p>“This Council recognises and fully accepts the findings of the <u>Joint Area Review</u> ordered by the Secretary of State, which identified serious failures of leadership and management in Haringey and its child protection services; notes <u>that following alarming comments from Ofsted that Haringey falsified data has sought an urgent meeting with them;</u> calls on the Leader of the Council and Chief Executive to seek immediate and significant central government resources and external expertise to deliver the</p>	

**MINUTES OF THE FULL COUNCIL
WEDNESDAY, 17 DECEMBER 2008**

	<p>service during this difficult period of review, repair and rebuilding; <u>notes that a meeting was held last Monday with the Children and Young People's Strategic Partnership Board to discuss the Joint Area Review and that a meeting on this matter will be held with the Secretary of State tomorrow; and calls for everyone to work together</u> so that at all times the residents of Haringey can have confidence that the most vulnerable children in the Borough are secure and properly looked after”.</p> <p>Other Members contributed to the debate.</p> <p>Councillor Gorrie responded as mover of the original motion.</p> <p>The amendment to the Motion was put to the vote and declared CARRIED unanimously.</p> <p>The substantive Motion as amended was then put to the vote and declared CARRIED unanimously.</p>	
--	---	--

COUNCILLOR ALAN DOBBIE

Mayor

This page is intentionally left blank



Council

On 19 January 2009

Report Title. Increase in Independent Membership for Standards Committee

Report of John Suddaby, Head of Legal Services and Monitoring Officer

Signed :

Contact Officer : John Suddaby, Head of Legal Services and Monitoring Officer

Wards(s) affected: N/A

Report for: **Information and decision**

1. Purpose of the report

1.1. To advise members of the decision of the Standards Committee of 22 December 2008 to increase the Independent Membership of the Standards Committee by 1 additional Independent Member, and to request Full Council to endorse this recommendation and to amend the relevant section of the Council's Constitution accordingly, and in accordance with the requirements in the Local Government Act 2000 and the Relevant Authorities (Standards Committee) Regulations 2001.

1.2. To seek Council approval for the Standards Committee recommendations.

2. Introduction by Cabinet Member (if necessary)

2.1. Not applicable

3. State link(s) with Council Plan Priorities and actions and /or other Strategies:

3.1. The council are obliged by law to appoint independent members to serve on the Standards committee and this is provided for in the Council's Constitution

4. Recommendations

4.1. To note this report and the recommendation from Standards Committee that the Membership of the Standards Committee be increased by one independent member, to 5 in total and that this additional position be recruited to as part of the recruitment process for independent members to be embarked upon in February 2009.

4.2. To approve the recommendation of Standards Committee, and to amend the relevant part of the Council's Constitution (Part Two – Articles of the Constitution – Article 9.02 (a) Membership) in relation to the Independent Membership of the Standards Committee.

5. Reason for recommendation(s)

5.1. The council are obliged by law to appoint independent members to serve on the Standards committee and this is provided for in the Council's Constitution

6. Other options considered

6.1. Not applicable

7. Summary

7.1. The Standards Committee currently has four independent members. It is proposed by the Standards Committee to increase this membership by 1 additional independent member to serve for four years. The process for recruitment of an additional member will form part of the recruitment process to be commenced in February 2009.

The Standards Committee is required by national legislation to have at least one independent member and also independent members must form 25% of the committee. The rules in relation to political balance do not apply to Standards Committees. Legislation provides that independent members

- must not have been a member or employee of Haringey Council within 5 years before the date of appointment.
- must not be a relative or close friend of a member or employee of Haringey Council
- must have filled in an application form
- must have been approved by the majority of the Council's members.

At its meeting on 22 December 2008 the Standards Committee considered and agreed that the independent membership of the Standards Committee should be increased by 1 member making a total of 5 Independent Members. The committee was of the view that this was necessary given the likely possible increase in the frequency of the Standard Committee's Assessment Sub-committee meetings, and that the increase of 1 independent member would assist in this process.

The Council's Constitution currently requires there to be four independent members on Standards Committee. Any increase would need to be agreed by full Council.

8. Chief Financial Officer Comments

8.1. The Chief Financial Officer has been consulted on this report and comments that the additional costs set out in paragraph 13 will be met from within existing resources.

9. Head of Legal Services Comments

9.1. The legal and constitutional implications are set out in the body of the report.

10. Head of Procurement Comments

10.1. Not applicable

11. Equalities & Community Cohesion Comments

11.1. Recruitment will take place in accordance with the Council's policies

12. Consultation

12.1. Not applicable

13. Service Financial Comments

13.1. The appointment of an additional Independent Member will result in the payment of an additional allowance, which currently stands at £615 per annum.

14. Use of appendices /Tables and photographs

14.1. Not applicable

15. Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

- 15.1. Local Government Act 2000
- 15.2. Council's Constitution
- 15.3. Standards Board for England Guidance
- 15.4. Member Services and Legal Services files.

**REPORT OF THE CABINET No. 08/2008-09
COUNCIL 19 JANUARY 2009**

Chair:
Councillor Clare Kober

Deputy Chair:
Councillor Lorna Reith

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This report covers matters considered by the Cabinet at our meeting on 18 November 2008. For ease of reference the Report is divided into the Cabinet portfolios.
- 1.2 We trust that this Report will be helpful to Members in their representative role and facilitate a fruitful dialogue between the Cabinet and all groups of Councillors. These reports are a welcome opportunity for the Cabinet on a regular basis to present the priorities and achievements of the Cabinet to Council colleagues for consideration and comment. The Cabinet values and encourages the input of fellow members.

ITEMS OF REPORT

Community Cohesion and Involvement

2. RESPONSE TO SCRUTINY REVIEW OF NEIGHBOURHOOD MANAGEMENT

- 2.1 We considered a report which recommended to us a response to the Scrutiny Review of Neighbourhood Management. We noted that the Review Panel had recognised that community engagement and community development was crucial if the Council was to continue to be in the forefront of providing local services. The Government White Paper 'Communities in Control: Real People, Real Power' built on the "strong and prosperous communities" agenda and made further recommendations around empowerment, engagement and participation. The Neighbourhood Management Service was selected for in-depth review by Overview and Scrutiny Committee given the challenge of numerous new polices and the new legislation on community engagement and empowerment.
- 2.2 The Review had aimed to assess the perceptions and value of the Neighbourhood Management Service from Members, internal stakeholders and external organisations. and sought to ensure the Service could meet the demands of:
 - Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act which placed new duties to develop a story of place with 35 improvement targets, development of systematic opportunities for involvement, consultation and engagement and new statutory duties for partners to participate within the Local Strategic Partnership.
 - Place shaping roles identified through the Lyons Review.
 - Extended schools and children's networks from the Department of Children and Families.
 - Neighbourhood Policing from the Home Office
 - Our Health, Our Choice, Our Say from the Department of Health.
 - The Government has just published its White Paper on community empowerment.
- 2.3 The Review addressed a number of key areas and provided a valuable examination of the current arrangements for Neighbourhood Management and provided an opportunity to consider how the Neighbourhood Management Service was set up to deliver, and to think

about the future challenges it faced, both in a national and local context, and to consider the on-going challenges of delivering Neighbourhood Management in the fast changing environment new polices as well as the new legislation to build enduring community engagement with Haringey and its partners.

- 2.4 The Scrutiny Review contained 13 recommendations in total of which 10 were agreed in principle while the other 3 were not. The implementation of the recommendations that were agreed would be contained within Neighbourhood Management Service's budget and we report that we approved the suggested response to the Scrutiny Review and we endorsed the proposed action plan.

Leisure, Culture and Lifelong Learning

3. HARINGEY'S PARKFORCE – OPEN SPACE SUPERVISION

- 3.1 We considered a report which sought our approval to the implementation of a 'model' to guide Haringey open space supervision through a more innovative partnership led approach aimed at maximising supervision and presence in Haringey's open space.
- 3.2 The Council will be aware that protecting and improving the natural environment is an integral part of our draft Greenest Borough Strategy and is also reflected in the Local Area Agreement priorities and targets. We noted that establishing more effective open space supervision was a key component to realising these aspirations and that the use of our parks through the provision of good quality, accessible and well supervised facilities and activities would make a significant contribution to our Wellbeing and Quality of Life priorities, in particular increasing participation in sport and physical activity.
- 3.3 We noted that Parkforce had been launched by CABI Space (Commission for Architecture and Built Environment) in September 2005 and sought to bring public perceptions about park staffing and supervision up to date and to challenge and support public authorities to re-invent and take an innovative approach to the way they managed parks. We also noted that the Haringey Friends of Parks Forum were supportive of a review and expressed concerns over perceived limitations. Essentially, they wanted to see more on site supervisory presence in our parks and open spaces. Improving on site supervision and engagement was essential to sustain the recent investment in parks regeneration and encourage more people to enjoy using their parks. There needed to be overall co-ordination and engagement to ensure our open space was achieving the optimum presence from a range of services and agencies in the delivery of Haringey's Parkforce.
- 3.4 We were of the view that improved and effective open space supervision could only be achieved through real and sustained partnership working and could not be delivered by a single service or agency. The current Parks Constabulary had served a purpose, and had been successful in reducing the fear of crime in our parks, and open spaces. However, in recent years the Metropolitan Police had invested heavily in local community policing, and local opinion had shifted to the need for greater on site supervisory presence. The Parkforce 'model' sought to maximise on-site supervision/presence and to harness the activities in open space, including the investment into Metropolitan Police community policing, under one umbrella to ensure best use of community resources.

3.5 We report that, following the outcome of consultation, we approved the adoption of the proposed Haringey Parkforce 'Model' on the lines set out in the report and agreed that resources be aligned and revenue resources re-directed in line with this decision. We also approved the dissolution of the Parks Constabulary Service.

4. HARINGEY PARKS ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN

4.1 We considered a report on a proposal to establish a more effective planned approach to the maintenance of parks and open spaces infrastructure in the Borough and to thereby achieve and sustain 'Green Flag' standards of provision.

4.2 The Council will be aware that Protecting and Improving the Natural Environment is an integral part of Haringey's Greenest Borough Strategy, which is also reflected in the Local Area Agreement priorities and targets. Establishing more effective open space infrastructural maintenance and improvement is a key component to realising these aspirations. Similarly, the use of our parks through the provision of good quality, accessible and well supervised facilities and activities will make a significant contribution to our Wellbeing and Quality of Life priorities, including by increasing participation in sport and physical activity.

4.3 We noted that well maintained parks and open spaces tended to be used more and attract greater community use, which in turn improved user perception of safety and well being, leading to a "virtuous circle" whereby better facilities were more used and provided proportionately greater value for money and increased customer satisfaction. The implementation of a solid Asset Management Plan for the Parks Service would help to ensure a targeted, forward planned maintenance regime through which appropriate funding was planned in advance and highlight the actual costs of maintenance for new and proposed assets. It would also provide an improved ability to recognise trends and help the Council to meet its objectives for a cleaner, greener and safer borough.

4.4 We report, for information, that we approved the Parks Service Asset Management Plan which was set out as an Appendix to the report.

5. PRICING PROPOSALS FOR CLUB/GROUP HIRE OF PARKS AND LEISURE CENTRES SPORTS FACILITIES

5.1 We reported to the Council on 18 February 2008 that we had approved the principles for a new charging policy for clubs/groups that hired sports facilities within the parks and at the leisure centres subject to further consultation with sports clubs/groups operating in the Borough. Following that further consultation we have now considered a report which proposed the establishment of a methodology and timetable for implementation of that charging policy.

5.2 We noted that these policy principles had met with general approval from sports clubs/groups who engaged in the consultation process and that the proposals were considered to be a natural extension to the improvement to the Service initiated by the Sport and Leisure Investment Project. Sufficient time had been allowed for clubs to prepare for the changes, the new charges would be introduced in April 2009, subject to clubs being

informed of the details in November. We also noted that it was important that sufficient resources were allocated to deal with enquires, process applications and general support for what was a totally new approach. Applications would be assessed and the process administered by a new post of Club Development Officer in the Policy and Development Unit of Recreation Services. For the majority of clubs and organisations that used our facilities, charging levels were an important factor for their financial viability and they would need support to make the best of this new charging mechanism.

- 5.3 This pricing mechanism, the extra resource in terms of the Club Development Officer, the Approved List of Sports Providers and the engagement of approved self employed coaches/instructors would ensure a marked improvement in Haringey's sports clubs capacity with the resultant increases in physical activity levels within the Borough. We report that we approved the new charging policy as set out in the report and agreed that discounts be awarded on the basis of complying with the criteria and process which were also outlined in the report. The prices set out in an Appendix to the report would be implemented with effect from 1 April 2009.

Regeneration and Enterprise

6. UPDATE ON THE NORTH LONDON WASTE PLAN

- 6.1 We considered a report which advised us of the revised timetable for preparing the North London Waste Plan and sought our approval to changes to the Council's annual contributions and the additional funds required for the employment costs of the Programme Manager with the extended revised work programme.
- 6.2 We noted that the original completion date had been December 2010 but this was now likely to be May 2011. As a consequence of this change, the timetable had been revised and would extend into the following financial year (2010/11) with small additional associated programme manager costs. Although there would be significant changes to the phasing of expenditure, there would be no extra cost for the consultants commissioned to develop the Plan.
- 6.3 We also noted that the Plan had been delayed because of the complexity of the collation and analysis of data, and the process of individual site visits to all sites in the seven constituent boroughs. This delay had been kept to a minimum but it would still have knock on effects to the Haringey Local Development Framework timetable and to the phasing of payment that would incur additional costs.
- 6.4 We were advised that the effect of delays to the timetable was an increase in each boroughs contribution of £9,393. The Planning, Policy and Development (PPD) business unit Pre Budget Plan Review for 2009/10 included a bid for additional revenue investment resources of £100,000 per annum in respect of the delivery of the Local Development Framework which included the North London Waste Plan as well as other key planning priorities. If this bid was successful the estimated costs of producing the waste plan could be met from this allocation. However, if the bid was unsuccessful the appropriate costs for the period 2009/10 to 2011/12 would have to be managed within the approved cash limit

for PPD for each of the relevant financial year. The Councils share of the costs incurred to date had been met from within existing PPD approved budgets.

- 6.5 We noted the extension to the preparation timetable for the North London Waste Plan and granted approval to the changes to the Council's annual contributions as well as to the additional funds required for the employment of the Programme Manager with the extended work programme as outlined above.

Children and Young People

7. RESPONSE TO SCRUTINY REVIEW OF SCHOOL EXCLUSIONS

- 7.1 We considered a report which recommended a response to the recommendations made as a result of a Scrutiny Review of School Exclusions. We noted that the Review had provided a valuable examination of what could be done to prevent pupils from being excluded from schools and that the Scrutiny Panel had been impressed by what was being done by schools and the Council, concluding that the services provided were very good. We also noted that through this Scrutiny Review there had been an opportunity to review good practice and to find out what local schools were doing to prevent pupils being excluded.

- 7.2 The Review made the following recommendations -

- To investigate the possibility of strengthening links with out-of-borough schools to enable greater consultation about Haringey pupils in danger of being excluded and to inform members of the Panel of the outcome.
- To write to all secondary schools in the Borough encouraging them to make use of the "Sports Academy" at Tottenham.
- To report to the appropriate Cabinet Member on ways of developing the Pupil and Family Mediation Service including ensuring all communities are aware of the provision, encouraging schools and parents/carers to use the service in a pre-emptive manner with drop-in-surgeries accessible to all Borough residents.
- To circulate the report to all Borough schools informing them that:
 - exclusion should only be used as a last resort and only when the school was able to demonstrate that all other options had been exhausted;
 - that procedures for reporting exclusion data to the Council were followed so that it might be properly analysed, and suitable strategies put in place;
 - the best way of tackling or reducing the need for exclusion was to encourage a whole school approach as happened at Gladesmore and Bow Schools;
 - they should consider purchasing SLEUTH and training staff in its use;
 - the strategies and practices of the Haringey Behaviour Support Teams should be embedded in school practices and that they should implement the National SEAL programme for secondary schools;
 - they had a responsibility to ensure that assaults by pupils on staff were reported.

- 7.3 We noted and welcomed the recommendations of the Scrutiny Review of School Exclusions and we approved the suggested response and proposed action plan which we considered to be effective measures to address those recommendations and we asked that these be progressed by the Children & Young People's Service together with Haringey schools.

Housing

8. RESTRUCTURE OF STRATEGIC AND COMMUNITY HOUSING SERVICES

- 8.1 We considered a report which advised us that there was a need to revise the staffing structure of Strategic and Community Housing Services to ensure that it was fit for purpose and equipped to deliver high quality customer-centred services that tackled and prevented homelessness, met the Borough's current and future housing needs, and contributed fully to the achievement of Haringey's strategic priorities.
- 8.2 The Council will be aware that the improvement of Strategic and Community Housing Services was considered to be a priority and was being overseen by a Housing Improvement Board that met fortnightly and was chaired by the Council's Chief Executive. We noted that the first phase of the restructure, affecting the Senior Management Team and those fourth tier managers whose posts had been evaluated at grade PO8, had been agreed by the Director of Urban Environment, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Housing, under delegated authority. The report which we considered set out the rationale for the restructure, provided details of the improvements expected from the changes, and sought our agreement to proceed with formal consultation with staff and the Trade Unions on the second phase of the restructure affecting all Strategic and Community Housing Services staff whose posts have been evaluated at a grade below PO8.
- 8.3 The appendices to the report provided details of the proposed structure, which affected 197 established Strategic and Community Housing Services staff and we noted that the primary purpose of the new structure was to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of Strategic and Community Housing Services by defining roles and responsibilities, re-aligning teams and services, improving communication and joint working, holding managers more accountable for the conduct and performance of their teams, encouraging partnership working and actively involving service users in planning, shaping and monitoring services.
- 8.4 We also noted that the new group structure re-aligned teams and services into six groups, each managed by a member of the Senior Management Team. As well as assisting the integration of the private sector housing functions, the new structure enhanced the strategic capacity of the service (especially in relation to the monitoring of the ALMO), drew together the homelessness and lettings functions, and proposed the establishment of specialist housing advice, housing options and private sector lettings teams to prevent homelessness, provide settled housing and reduced the Council's use of temporary accommodation.
- 8.5 The new staffing structure created a Business Support team that would assist and support the Assistant Director and Senior Management Team with the delivery of high

quality, well-managed, cost effective services. This would include risk management, health and safety, performance, data integrity, recruitment and induction, training, special projects and the democratic process. A new Job Description and Person Specification would be produced for all posts within Strategic and Community Housing Services to ensure that everyone had a clear understanding of what was required of them and how they were expected to contribute to the achievement of Haringey's key objectives.

- 8.6 We report that we approved the proposed restructure of Strategic and Community Housing Services and to formal consultation with all affected staff and trade unions. We also delegated authority to amend the staffing structure in response to any issues arising to the Director of Urban Environment in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Housing.

Enforcement and Community Safety

9. DYING FOR A DRINK? HARINGEY'S ALCOHOL HARM REDUCTION STRATEGY 2008-2011

- 9.1 We considered a report which sought our agreement to an alcohol harm reduction strategy for Haringey. We noted that the production, implementation and monitoring of this strategy was a statutory requirement under the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and subsequent reviews of that legislation. The Government's new National Alcohol Strategy called for strategies that addressed all alcohol related harms which was the approach taken in the development of the strategy now commended to us. We also noted that the broad headings and overall content used were those proposed in guidance published by the Home Office and the Department of Health. All priorities in the proposed strategy reflected levels of need and ways of working locally, and the content of the draft was in line with Haringey's Community Strategy and Local Area Agreement.
- 9.2 We were advised that the delivery and performance structure in the strategy now proposed formalised much of what was already being done. However, the draft strategy encouraged a shift in emphasis towards public health/early intervention responses to alcohol-related harm. In the past, most activity to target alcohol-related harm had been in the areas of enforcement and treatment. The overarching strategic priorities identified in the draft strategy should be tackled at a local level according to residents' concerns and local intelligence while closer joint working, planning and the maximising of effort and outcome was proposed across key Council departments and with partner agencies, notably with respect to targeted prevention and early identification of problems. This would require strong links with, for example, the Well-Being Board and the Children and Young People's Board.
- 9.3 We were also advised that while much of the proposed activity fell within core business, additional resources would be needed to fund initiatives that specifically helped the borough reduce alcohol related hospital admission rates and address capacity issues within the residential alcohol treatment budget. The new indicative health costs for delivering the strategy were reported to be in the region of £200,000 – £250,000. The Primary Care Trust was understood to have earmarked £250,000 in its investment strategy for 2009/10 to deliver the alcohol strategy but detailed costings for delivery would not be known until the action plan to reduce alcohol related hospital admissions had been

more fully developed. The focus would be on expanding alcohol related screening and brief interventions in primary care, accident and emergency and ward based settings, along with a prevention 'strategy' consisting of social marketing, health promotion, awareness training for generic health and social care professionals and targeted work for key identified communities.

- 9.4. It was reported that there were also cost pressures from demand on the residential alcohol treatment budget for people with complex needs. A bid had been put forward to meet this demand as part of the Councils' pre-Business Planning review process. Indicative reductions to the adult drug treatment budget allocation over the next three years meant that some of the wrap-around support services previously commissioned from this budget would be compromised.
- 9.5. We noted that the proposed strategy also supported outcomes related to a number of agreed LAA targets and we report that we approved the strategic priorities contained in the Strategy together with the proposed action plan and monitoring framework which were set out in the Appendix to the report.

Resources

10. FINANCIAL PLANNING 2009/10 – 2011/12

- 10.1 The Council will be aware that our integrated financial and business planning process was the key mechanism by which plans and strategies were reviewed to ensure the performance and priorities were being met and that resources were allocated effectively to underpin their achievement. The process culminated in changes to the budget and medium term financial strategy that delivered a revised Council Plan. The Plan reflected the Council's own priorities and contributed to the wider Sustainable Community Strategy delivered in conjunction with the Haringey Strategic Partnership.
- 10.2 We considered a report which provided us with an update on financial planning issues and proposed that the pre-business plan reviews, including the investment and savings options, be released for consultation and the scrutiny process.
- 10.3 We noted that the prospects for future local government grant settlements were that there was likely to be a severe constraint in public spending. This would particularly affect Council's in year three of this budget process and the Chancellor of the Exchequer was expected to produce a pre-budget report in November. We also noted that there had been significant changes since the budget in March this year when there were still predictions of economic growth although slightly below the output trend level. Since then there had been two key changes to the Treasury sums; firstly some concessions had been provided on tax plans, and secondly the economic conditions had changed considerably.
- 10.4 The predictions of lower economic growth, and possibly recession, would reduce tax receipts further and lead to increases in the costs of on welfare support. This was likely to push up the need for public sector borrowing and in order for the Chancellor to meet the 'golden rule', i.e. borrow for investment only and balance the budget over the

economic cycle, other public sector spending would have to be curtailed significantly. Therefore the outlook for local government grant settlement overall looked bleak.

- 10.5 We reported to the Council on 13 October 2008 on our financial strategy for the period 2009/10 to 2011/12 and the agreed business planning and budget-setting process. At that time an overall net savings targets of £7.6 million was reported over the full three year planning period. This assumed the achievement of pre-agreed savings proposals of £14.8 million in the first two years. The previous planning assumption for Council Tax was an increase of 3.0% in each of the three years although noting that this assumption might have to be reconsidered in light of the overall financial position later in the process. The grant settlement figures for the first two years were known (1.75% and 1.5%) as part of the multi-year settlement received last year.
- 10.6 Having considered updates on a number of national and local matters, we noted that the climate was one of known restricted resources for the next two years and therefore as expected further efficiency savings would be required to fund any additional investment or unavoidable cost pressures. The Council's own local processes were proceeding as planned but it had a significant challenge ahead in terms of producing a balanced budget over the planning period.
- 10.7 We report for information that we noted the national and local updates and agreed that the Pre Business Plan Reviews be released for consultation and budget scrutiny.

Leader

11. THE COUNCIL'S PERFORMANCE QUARTER 2 (JULY – SEPTEMBER) 2008

- 11.1 We considered the regular finance and performance monitoring report for July - September 2008. This report gave an indication of the level and quality of services delivered on the ground. It monitored Haringey's position in relation to a number of indicators that would be used to assess the Council in the Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA) and the Local Area Agreement (LAA).
- 11.2 The Corporate Programmes delivered the corporately significant projects. These underpinned the Council's corporate planning process, ensuring that the projects undertaken reflected and helped to deliver the Community Strategy and corporate priorities. The report provided an opportunity to monitor, challenge and support the Council's key projects to ensure that they finish on time, to budget and deliver the outcomes for the community.
- 11.3 We noted that, in terms of performance, overall good progress had been made in the first six months of 2008/09. Out of the 90 indicators reported in this period, 81 (90%) were shown to be achieving green or amber status. We also noted that the majority of projects in the Better Haringey & Regeneration Corporate Programmes were on schedule to deliver the agreed benefits. The report also highlighted areas for focussed monitoring and set out the agreed actions to bring performance in line with targets.
- 11.4 With regard to the financial implications, the overall revenue budget monitoring, based on the September position, showed that the General Fund was forecast to overspend by £1

million. This projected overspend was within Adults, Culture & Community, Children & Young People and Corporate Resources. The reasons for this projected overspend were detailed in the report. Some budget pressures were also outlined in the report that services were seeking to contain within the budget. The dedicated schools budget (DSB) element of the overall Children & Young People's budget was projected to spend £0.2 million below budget. Any DSB under spend would need to be carried forward to next year. In relation to the housing revenue account (HRA), the net revenue projection was to under spend by £0.2m. This was following estimated savings arising from the letting of the new gas boiler maintenance contracts although these savings were offset by a number of pressures which were detailed in the report. The aggregate capital projected position in 2008/09 was projected to under spend by £0.3 million. The projected under spends were within Corporate Resources and Urban Environment and the reasons for these were also detailed in the report.

11.5 Financial regulations require proposed budget changes to be approved by Cabinet. These are shown in the table below. These changes fall into one of two categories:

- Budget virements, where it was proposed that budget provision be transferred between one service budget and another. Explanations are provided where this is the case;
- Increases or decreases in budget, generally where notification has been received in-year of a change in the level of external funding such as grants or supplementary credit approval.

Under the Constitution, certain virements are key decisions. Key decisions are:

- For revenue, any virement which results in change in a directorate cash limit of more than £250,000; and
- For capital, any virement which results in the change of a programme area of more than £250,000.

Key decisions are highlighted by an asterisk in the table.

The following table sets out the proposed changes. There are two figures shown in each line of the table. The first amount column relates to changes in the current year's budgets and the second to changes in future years' budgets (full year). Differences between the two occur when, for example, the budget variation required relates to an immediate but not ongoing need or where the variation takes effect for a part of the current year but will be in effect for the whole of future years. Proposed virements are set out in the following table.

Revenue Virements

Service	Key	Amount current year (£'000)	Full year Amount (£'000)	Reason for budget changes	Description

ACCS	Rev	45	45	Corrective budget realignment	Part funding of the Head of Commissioning Services post from the Directorate budget.
ACCS/ C&YP	Rev	44	44	Corrective budget realignment	Transition worker transferred to ACCS from C&YP.
PPPC	Rev	221	n/a	Funding allocations	Department for Children, Schools and Families 2008/09 allocation for the prevention and reduction of Young People's Substance Misuse.
C&YP	Rev*	1,279	n/a	Corrective budget realignment	2008/09 budget for ICT - Managed Service Provider (MSP). The budget is funded from Secondary School Governing Bodies that contribute towards ICT MSP contract costs for 2008/09.
POD	Rev	86	n/a	Corrective budget realignment	Organisational Development placement income received and Support Practice Learning grant brought forwards from the previous year.
C&YP	Rev	62	n/a	Funding allocations	Pump Priming grant allocation for 2008/09.
ACCS	Rev	62	n/a	Funding Allocations	Pump Priming grant allocation for 2008/09.
CR	Rev	40	n/a	Funding Allocations	Pump Priming grant allocation for 2008/09.
UE	Rev	150	n/a	Funding Allocations	Pump Priming grant allocation for 2008/09.
PPPC	Rev	105	n/a	Funding Allocations	Additional Area Based Grant for 2008/09 (Preventing Violent Extremism Fund).

Capital Virements

C&YP	Cap	144	n/a	Corrective budget realignment	The match funding for Standards Fund grant 'Broadband Connectivity' is now not required and is being transferred to other resources
CR	Cap	(120)	120	Re-phasing	Implementation of Payment Kiosks – re-phasing to 2009/10.
UE	Cap	92	n/a	New funding allocations	Additional funding received from TFL for Bridge Assessment and Strengthening.
UE	Cap	16	n/a	New funding allocations	Muswell Hill recreation ground (phase 1) - funded from s106 monies.
C&YP	Cap		12,056	New funding allocations	The funding for the BSF project has increased by £12.056m; from £199.6m to £211.656m as explained in paragraph 17.17 of the report. The additional funding sources are £8.225m from DCSF - uplifted pupil numbers and inflation calculations, £0.7m from Section 106 resources, £1.16m from C&YPS capital programme contingency utilisation, £0.7m from PFI reserves, £0.28m from application of St Thomas More devolved formula capital, £0.5m from the South East England Conference Trustees Seventh Day Adventists, and £0.491m from the Big Lottery Fund.

12. ACHIEVING EXCELLENCE UPDATE

12.1 The Council will be aware that the Achieving Excellence is one of three improvement programmes currently being implemented designed to improve the performance of the Council, the others being Regeneration and Better Haringey.

12.2 Achieving Excellence aimed to deliver more efficient, cost-effective, customer-focused services by improving the Council's operating infrastructure: our people, processes and systems. The programme aimed to:

- Find new and better ways of doing our work to meet the changing needs of our customers
- Focus our limited resources to areas that makes the greatest difference to improving the life experiences of our residents
- Help us to develop into an organization that can adapt effectively to change operating with a sustained performance and efficiency

The programme was intended deliver efficiencies of £5 million over the next three years.

12.2 Achieving Excellence was divided into five streams, Citizen Focus, Smart Working, Knowledge and Information Management, Operating Model and Value for Money each of which had clear priorities for improving our work. We considered a report which provided us with an overview of these streams and the progress achieved to date.

12.3 We noted that the Achieving Excellence programme had a significant cross-Council impact which would need to be effectively co-ordinated, communicated and supported to help business units' transition from old to new ways of working whilst ensuring there was minimum impact on service delivery. The programme was seeking to build capacity within the business units to lead and manage change, with support from the programme team. However, the programme was of a size and scope that it could not be driven forward solely by a small central team, and key to these efforts will be the role played by the Chief Executive's Management Board and the Senior Management Team in actively supporting the programme and breaking down barriers to implementation in their areas. This would include inspiring and engaging staff and freeing up staff to become part of a cross-Council change network that would actively own implementation of aspects of the programme.

12.4 We also noted that a series of events had taken place to help build engagement at all levels. A Senior Managers forum had taken place on 24 September where Achieving Excellence was the main agenda topic for the session. The forum was very well attended and early feedback suggested that most attendees felt that they now had a much greater awareness and appreciation of the aims and objectives for the programme. The programme team will be working with the Organisational Development & Learning Division to consider how future forums (both senior managers' and other forums), could be scheduled to ensure Achieving Excellence has an ongoing presence. A series of other regular forums had also been held with both the Project Managers and Value for Money business leads and these would continue to be run on a monthly basis where possible. These meetings were proving to serve as effective communication and feedback channels, allowing staff from across the Council to network with others and provide a

common forum that encouraged discussion on ideas and challenges around the programme. In addition, a dedicated change management resource was being assigned to support those projects with the biggest impact for staff and to help provide additional expertise.

- 12.5 As mentioned above, the programme had a three year target to achieve savings of £5 million. The Council's financial plans assumed a profile of savings of £1 million in 2008-09 and £2 million in each of the following two years. The projected savings for each of the streams are summarised as follows:

	2008-09 £000	2009-10 £000	2010-11 £000	Total £000
Citizen Focus	0	0	0	0
Smart Working	0	200	1,550	1,750
Information Knowledge Management	250	-200	100	150
Operating Model	150	370	150	670
Value for Money	603	1,440	400	2,443
Total	1,003	1,810	2,200	5,013

- 12.6 At this point, it was predicted that the planned target for efficiencies for the Programme would be achieved, although there were a number of assumptions being made that would be clarified as individual projects were progressed and finalised. However, the Council was a dynamic organisation where changes took place at both an organisational and individual service level, which might mean that the programme needed to be adapted in order to both support new changes and to ensure efficiencies were identified at the right time and in noting the report we delegated authority to the Chief Financial Officer to flex the programme when required. Any amendments to the programme would be reported to us during the programme reporting cycle.

13. MATTERS PROGRESSSED UNDER URGENCY PROCEDURES

- 13.1 We report for information the following decision taken under Urgency Procedures

Greenest Borough Strategy – Approval to drafting changes to the Greenest Borough Strategy to ensure that work on the implementation plan was adequately reflected and copywriting recommendations incorporated (Director of Urban Environment in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Environment and Conservation)

Terminating the Use of Capita for Work on Woodside House under the Framework Agreement – Approval to the suspension of plans at Stage B with a review when the prevailing economic conditions improve. To review the Accommodation Strategy in the light of this decision which will result in the deferral of this project. (Director of Corporate Resources in consultation with the Leader)

14. DELEGATED DECISIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACTIONS

- 14.1 We were informed of the following significant actions taken by Directors under delegated powers -

Director of Corporate Resources

Refurbishment and Master Plan for Hornsey Town Hall –Approval to the procurement of the initial stages of the refurbishment of Hornsey Town Hall and to the award of the contract under Contract Standing Order 11.2 for project management to Capita Symonds.

Childcare Litigation Services - Approval to the award of the contract under Contract Standing Order 11.02 for childcare litigation services to the London Borough of Islington to cover the 6-7 month period ending 31 March 2009 with an option to extend for a further 6 months.

Muswell Hill Library Roof Works – Award of the contract for refurbishment of Muswell Hill Library roof works to Acclaim Contracts Ltd,

Chair:
Councillor Clare Kober

Deputy Chair:
Councillor Lorna Reith

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This report covers matters considered by the Cabinet at our meeting on 16 December 2008. For ease of reference the Report is divided into the Cabinet portfolios.
- 1.2 We trust that this Report will be helpful to Members in their representative role and facilitate a fruitful dialogue between the Cabinet and all groups of Councillors. These reports are a welcome opportunity for the Cabinet on a regular basis to present the priorities and achievements of the Cabinet to Council colleagues for consideration and comment. The Cabinet values and encourages the input of fellow members.

ITEM FOR DECISION

2. SAVING UNITARY DEVELOPMENT POLICIES

- 2.2 We considered a report which advised us that the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 ('the Act') had introduced a new Local Development Framework system of plan-making. Under the Act, Haringey's Unitary Development Plan (UDP), which was adopted in July 2006, was valid for three years from the date of adoption, until July 2009.
- 2.3 This meant that the policies in the UDP would no longer be saved after July 2009 unless the Secretary of State (SoS) made a Direction to save the policies beyond this date. Without such a Direction, there would be no statutory planning policies applying in the Borough, except for the London Plan, with which to determine planning applications.
- 2.3 We were advised that the SoS has issued a protocol for saving policies which required that each policy in the UDP should be identified and a reason given as to whether or not the policy should be saved. The protocol set out the criteria for assessing whether or not policies should be saved and identified the principles and priorities to which the SoS would have regard when reaching a decision. Requests to save policies would be scrutinised by the SoS and a decision made to approve or not approve the request based on compliance with the criteria.
- 2.4 We were also advised that in drawing up the schedules attached as Appendix 1 to this report, officers had considered the criteria set in the protocol and also whether particular policies were used or referred to in determining planning applications. The schedule was set out chapter by chapter as identified in the UDP. Our officer's recommendations were that most of the UDP policies should be saved. It was generally those policies which were repetitive of London Plan policy or existing UDP policy which were considered unnecessary. Those proposed to be deleted are identified in the following table.

Summary of policies to be deleted	
Subject	Comment
Water conservation	Repeats UD2 (j) Does not add anything in terms of policy.
Density Standards	Outdated reference to London Plan - London Plan Density Matrix is Table 3A.2 rather than 4B.1. Parking standards now outlined in Annex 4 of the London Plan. London Plan Policy 3A.3 Maximising the potential of sites - provides for higher density development on sites compatible with local context, design principles and public transport capacity.
Improvements	List of infrastructure is outdated – New list provided in London Plan Table 3C.1
Pedestrians and cyclists	Repetition of M3 (c) which refers to the design and location of buildings on encouraging walking and cycling.

- 2.5 As our adopted UDP was relatively new, there were very few policies which did not conform to either national guidance or the London Plan. The primary consideration in reaching a recommendation was whether or not a particular policy was necessary to guide development. Where it was considered necessary then as well as being saved it was also likely to be carried forward in some form into the Local Development Framework.
- 2.6 However, the Greater London Authority (GLA) had identified two policies (·ENV9: Mitigating climate change: energy efficiency and ENV10: Mitigating climate change: renewable energy) which were no longer in general conformity with the London Plan, and were therefore not recommended to be saved. Both contained references to a 10% renewable energy target. The 10% renewable target in the London Plan (2004) was superseded by a 20% target as part of the Further Alterations to the London Plan, which were adopted in February 2008. References to a 10% target were therefore no longer in general conformity. In view of the GLA's objection it was recommended that policies ENV9 and ENV10 be deleted and reliance be placed on the London Plan policies.

WE RECOMMEND

1. That the Secretary of State be requested to save the UDP policies as indicated in the Schedule set out at Appendix 1.
2. That the two policies ENV9: Mitigating climate change: energy efficiency and ENV10: Mitigating climate change: renewable energy which were no longer in general conformity with the London Plan, be not saved for the reasons outlined above.

ITEMS OF REPORT

Children and Young People

3. CHILD POVERTY STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN

3.1 We reported to the Council on 13 October 2008 on a draft child poverty strategy for consultation which advised us that the Government had set itself a target to end child poverty by 2020 and was strongly encouraging local services to take an ever more active role in reducing poverty. As a local authority we had an important role in helping to tackle the issue by:

- delivering key services that are critical to improving children's life chances;
- co-ordinating activities to reduce worklessness and poverty;
- engaging with individuals and groups at risk of being marginalized;
- tailoring solutions to meet the needs of local people

3.2 We reported that we had approved a draft strategy and action plan for consultation and that we would report further to the Council on the outcome of that consultation and on any amendments to the draft strategy and action plan.

3.3 The consultation with our Haringey Strategic Partnership (HSP) Partners took place between late September and early November 2008 and Partners were asked to provide comments. An executive summary of the strategy, which included a link to the full strategy and action plan on the Council's web site, was circulated to all of the HSP theme boards as well as to the Youth Parliament. The strategy was tabled for discussion at the HSP and Children and Young People's Strategic Partnership Board.

3.4 We noted that comments had been received from the Well-Being Theme Board, the Children and Young People's Partnership Board and the HSP Board. In addition the Child Poverty Working Group had also given comments. The key issues which had arisen from the consultation were that:

- HSP partners should take responsibility for achieving the strategy's objectives within their own organisations.
- The report was written before the economic turndown and should now reflect the current situation.
- More references to health should be included and mention made of initiatives around employment and health services.
- Reference ought to be made to children acting as carers, children affected by parents with substance misuse problems and children in care.
- Reference ought to be made to the homelessness strategy.

These points had been reflected in the revised strategy and action plan submitted to us.

3.5 We also noted that the Child Poverty strategy was designed to deliver two of the Sustainable Community Strategy's (SCS) objectives, economic vitality and prosperity shared by all; and healthier people with a better quality of life. Delivery of the strategy

would be key to meeting the new LAA target on tackling child poverty, as well as current LAA targets around worklessness and improving educational attainment. The strategy was a step in moving towards closer joint planning and working across the Council and with partner agencies in tackling child poverty.

- 3.6 We report that we approved the revised strategy and action plan and in so doing we were advised that the strategy's objectives would be delivered within existing budgets. However, it was hoped that by encouraging a more co-ordinated and focused approach to child poverty, the strategy would increase the effectiveness of the Council's activity in this area and improve value for money.

Regeneration and Enterprise

4. LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT 2007/08

- 4.1 The Council will be aware that local planning authorities were required to produce an Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) under Section 35 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and Regulation 48 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004. We considered a report which attached an AMR which covered the period April 2007 to March 2008 and had to be submitted to the Secretary of State by 31 December 2008.
- 4.2 We noted that the AMR was used for information purposes to assess the performance and effectiveness of planning policies. It presented available statistical data relating to the planning policies in Haringey's adopted Unitary Development Plan and the emerging Local Development Framework. It contained a monitoring framework that identified targets and indicators, which would be used to assess the performance and effectiveness of the UDP objectives and key policies. The AMR also identified on-going issues of data collection and analysis.
- 4.3 We were advised that on 30 March 2007, the Council had submitted its revised Local Development Scheme (LDS) to the Government Office for London. The LDS was a three year project plan, which set out a programme for replacing the UDP policies with Local Development Documents. The AMR monitored progress on the LDS. For 2007/08, the key milestones were 'Consultation on Issues and Options for the Core Strategy', 'Joint Waste Plan' and 'Central Leaside Area Action Plan' as set out in Regulation 25 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004. The 2007/08 AMR set out that these milestones had been met.
- 4.4 We were informed that this was the fourth AMR to be submitted to the Government Office for London. The previous reports in 2004/05, 2005/06 and 2006/07 had presented available statistical data relating to Haringey's UDP policies and information on the Council's development control performance. This version of the AMR took forward many of the indicators used in the previous reports and addressed all the Core Output Indicators identified by the Government. It also included some significant effects indicators which assessed the significant social, economic and environmental effects of policies. These indicators were linked to objectives for the sustainability appraisal of Local Development Documents. A full set of sustainability objectives and sub objectives were identified in the Sustainability Appraisal for the Local Development Framework.

- 4.5 We report, for information, that we approved the Annual Monitoring Report for submission to the Government Office for London.

Housing

5. USE OF INTRODUCTORY TENANCIES

- 5.1 We considered a report which advised us that introductory tenancies had been used very effectively by many local authorities and social landlords, and were encouraged as an important measure to tackle Anti Social Behaviour. We noted that an introductory tenancy was for a probationary period of one year for new tenants, at the end of which period the tenancy automatically became secure unless action was taken to bring the tenancy to an end. The probationary period could be extended for up to 6 months.
- 5.2 We were informed that the introductory tenancy scheme it was proposed to introduce would apply to all new Council tenants from the date that the scheme starts. The introductory tenancy scheme would not apply to the following:
- Council tenants who were already secure tenants and who moved home through a transfer or mutual exchange.
 - RSL tenants who were already assured tenants and who moved home through a transfer or mutual exchange.
- 5.3 To obtain a possession order from a court against a secure tenant the Council had to prove one of the grounds of possession set out in Schedule 2 to the Housing Act 1985 and, in most cases, satisfy the court that repossession was reasonable. To obtain a possession order against an introductory tenant, the Council would simply need to satisfy the court that the correct procedure for ending the tenancy had been followed. This procedure included notifying tenants that they had the right to request that the decision to end their tenancy was reviewed by a panel that included someone who was independent of the person who made the decision to end the tenancy.
- 5.4 Concerns which had been expressed that secure tenants who were required to leave their accommodation because of domestic violence, serious harassment or hate crime and were then placed in temporary accommodation would be seriously disadvantaged when they were eventually offered settled accommodation as an introductory tenancy had been addressed by a recent amendment to the Lettings Policy which provided for such households to be moved as an urgent management transfer (ideally within 8 weeks) rather than have to spend many years in temporary accommodation. Under these circumstances, the tenant would be given a secure tenancy.
- 5.5 We report that we supported the use of introductory tenancies and granted approval to the rights that the Council would give to introductory tenancies which were set out as an Appendix the report. We also delegated authority to approve the use of introductory tenancies to the Director of Urban Environment in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Housing and the Cabinet Member for Enforcement and Safer Communities after taking

into account the feedback received from tenants and other stakeholders during the consultation.

Resources

6. BULL LANE PETITION

- 6.1 We considered a report which advised us that two out of borough sites at Bull Lane and Pasteur Gardens had been the subject of dialogue with Enfield Council as a result of which we submitted a planning application in May 2003 for the use of up to 2 hectares of the Bull Lane site for residential development. Enfield Council's Planning Committee in April 2004 approved up to 1.62 hectares for such a development subject to a Section 106 agreement covering various matters.
- 6.2 These proposals involved the Pasteur Gardens site and part of the Bull Lane site being transferred to Enfield Council and the disposal of 1.62 hectares of the Bull Lane site. These proposals provoked public reaction most notably from Community Action Sport (CAS) which made representations and expressed an interest in purchasing the Bull Lane site for retention as a sports ground with a view to providing new sports facilities for the local community. CAS stated that their proposals for Bull Lane would benefit both local schools and sports organizations, one of which was Park View Rangers FC, who suffered from a lack of playing field facilities.
- 6.3 We were informed that CAS had submitted an 'Outline Project for Saving Bull Lane Playing Fields' in October 2008 in which they formally requested that the Council give them 6 months in which to prepare a business plan and secure external funding. As part of their project CAS envisaged re-instating Bull Lane with up to 5 football pitches and associated sports facilities, essentially retaining the whole of the Bull Lane site as a playing field. We noted that a formal response had been sent to CAS agreeing to their request and confirming that the six months would expire on 31 May 2009. CAS had been informed that the negotiations would continue with Enfield Council during this period.
- 6.5 We were also informed that Park View Rangers FC who currently used the football pitches at Bull Lane envisaged continued expansion of their membership and expressed their support for CAS and its proposals. They had submitted a petition to this effect.
- 6.6 We report that we noted receipt of the petition and that the petitioners had been advised that a Cabinet report on Bull Lane and Pasteur Gardens would be considered following receipt and assessment of Community Action Sport's business plan.

7. HORNSEY DEPOT

- 7.1 The Council will be aware that the site of the former Hornsey Central Depot has been surplus to Council requirements for over 10 years and has been designated as a key site for development to promote economic, social and physical regeneration. Together with the adjoining site owned by Sainsbury this scheme is part of the Council's Regeneration programme with the following key objectives:

- A comprehensive development of both sites to provide of a sustainable, high quality, mixed-use development including a food store and housing in accordance with the Council's Planning Policy and Housing Strategy.
- Regeneration of the High Street.
- Capital receipts to support investment in the borough through the Council's Capital Programme.

7.2 We considered a report which advised us that there had been a long history in the development of this scheme and following an assessment of the options in November 2006 the Council decided to market the combined site jointly with Sainsbury. Following an assessment of the bids, pursuant the above Council's objective, a preferred purchaser was appointed in July 2007 to develop the site. The terms of the appointment approved in July 2007 were a conditional sale to Inner Circle for the combined site, subject to contract and planning.

7.3 Since their appointment Inner Circle worked with the landowners to develop the scheme in consultation with Planning and other agencies and also carried out public consultation. Unfortunately, due to the unprecedented change in the economic conditions resulting in the sudden and steep decline in property values, Inner Circle revised their financial offer in October 2008. Having considered the revised offer and following discussions with Sainsbury's, the revised offer from Inner Circle was rejected on 6 November 2008 as it did not demonstrate best consideration to the Council, nor value for money for Sainsbury.

7.4 In order to take the project forward the report proposed an option which entailed securing planning consent for the whole site then its development in phases. This option would ensure a comprehensive approach to the development with all land treated as part of a single integrated master plan creating certainty, albeit phased development. This would avoid a piecemeal development thereby eliminating the risk of creating back land sites. Given the current economic situation and property market, this option was considered the best way forward. Phase 1 could be 'Store with some residential above together with improvements to the High Street and phase 2 could be affordable housing with private housing being delivered as and when the market improves'. The proposal was to treat Sainsbury as a special purchaser for Phase 1, and to competitively market Phase 2 once planning consent has been obtained, and enabling and some infrastructure works were carried out by Sainsbury. This would give the Council an "oven" ready site for development, which would be more attractive in the current market conditions.

7.5 We report that we agreed to proceed with the option as outlined above and approved Sainsbury being given special purchaser status on phase 1 of the development subject to them meeting specified performance targets resulting in an agreed scheme being submitted for planning consent for the whole site. We also approved the Council entering into a collaboration agreement the terms of which are classified as exempt because they contained information relating to the business or financial affairs of any particular person and we authorised the Director of Corporate Resources in consultation with the Head of Legal Services to finalise the agreement.

Leader**8. THE COUNCIL'S PERFORMANCE – PERIOD 7 – APRIL TO OCTOBER 2008**

- 8.1 We considered the regular finance and performance monitoring report covering Finance and Performance of the Council for the period April to October 2008 which detailed the Council's performance against agreed targets. Financial and Performance information was based on the financial monitoring reports prepared for the budget and performance management review meetings for period 7.
- 8.2 Performance against 72 monthly reported indicators was indicated all linked to the Council's priorities -
- Making Haringey one of London's greenest boroughs
 - Creating a better Haringey: Cleaner, Greener and Safer
 - Encouraging lifetime well-being
 - Promoting independent living
 - Delivering excellent services
- 8.3 The aggregate projected positions for revenue and capital were also shown as well as proposed budget changes (virements) for which our approval was sought in accordance with financial regulations, and the RAG status of planned savings and planned investments.
- 8.4 While the Comprehensive Area Assessment (CAA) would take account of our performance against all 198 National Indicators (NIs), a significant number of the new national indicators lent themselves to quarterly or annual monitoring rather than monthly. These were shown quarterly to ensure that Members and senior officers were aware of the full list of indicators which would be used to judge the Council and the Strategic Partnership at the end of the year.
- 8.5 Overall, good progress has been made on the indicators monitored in the first seven months of 2008/09. Performance highlights included -
- Thefts of motor vehicles have decreased since last year by 10%
 - Percentage of 16-18 year olds not in education, employment or training (NEETS) is low in September at 8%
 - Visits to the borough's sports centres, are ahead target with 800,000 visits so far this year
- 8.6 However, the report also highlighted areas for focussed monitoring such as recycling and average re-let times and set out the agreed actions to bring performance in line with targets.
- 8.7 In terms of finance, the overall revenue budget monitoring, based on the October position, showed that the General Fund was forecast to overspend by £0.7 million. This projected overspend was within the Children & Young People Directorate and the Corporate Resources Directorate. The reasons for this projected overspend were detailed in the

report as were other budget pressures that services were seeking to contain within the budget. The dedicated schools budget (DSB) element of the overall Children & Young People's budget was projected to spend £0.2 million below budget, any DSG under spend would need to be carried forward to next year. In relation to the housing revenue account (HRA), the net revenue projection was to spend at budget. This was following estimated savings arising from the letting of the new gas boiler maintenance contracts although these savings were offset by a number of pressures also detailed in the report.

8.8 The aggregate capital projected position in 2008/09 is projected to under spend by £0.5 million. The projected under spends were within Corporate Resources and Urban Environment and the reasons for this are detailed in the report.

8.5 Financial regulations required that proposed budget changes be approved by the Cabinet. These are shown in the table below. These changes fall into one of two categories:

- Budget virements, where it was proposed that budget provision be transferred between one service budget and another. Explanations are provided where this is the case;
- Increases or decreases in budget, generally where notification has been received in-year of a change in the level of external funding such as grants or supplementary credit approval.

Under the Constitution, certain virements are key decisions. Key decisions are:

- For revenue, any virement which results in change in a directorate cash limit of more than £250,000; and
- For capital, any virement which results in the change of a programme area of more than £250,000.

Key decisions are highlighted by an asterisk in the table.

The following table sets out the proposed changes. There are two figures shown in each line of the table. The first amount column relates to changes in the current year's budgets and the second to changes in future years' budgets (full year). Differences between the two occur when, for example, the budget variation required relates to an immediate but not ongoing need or where the variation takes effect for a part of the current year but will be in effect for the whole of future years. Proposed virements are set out in the following table.

Revenue Virements

Service	Key	Amount current year (£'000)	Full year Amount (£'000)	Reason for budget changes	Description

ACCS	Rev	125	125	Corrective budget realignment	Transfer of Head of Commissioning and Complaints officer posts to Commissioning & Strategy from the Director's budget.
ACCS	Rev*	374	867	New funding allocations	Transforming Social Care Reform grant for 2008/09 and 2009/10.
CR/UE	Rev	63	108	Corrective budget realignment	Agreed budget transfer from Housing Services to Customer Services for dealing with customer enquiries regarding housing registrations and homelessness prevention.
CYP/AC CS/CR	Rev*	291	291	Corrective budget realignment	Procurement efficiency savings for transport and related budget adjustment.
CR	Rev	195	195	Corrective budget realignment	Benefits and Local Taxation – increased court costs income.

Capital Virements

Service	Key	Amount current year (£'000)	Full year Amount (£'000)	Reason for budget changes	Description
UE	Cap	206		New funding allocations	North London Sub Regional funding for empty homes.
UE	Cap*	261		New funding allocations	North London Sub Regional funding for private sector decent homes.
UE	Cap*	300		Corrective budget realignment	Additional parking capital investment (amend signs, extend two existing CPZ's and introduce five new pay and display machines) to be funded from the parking reserve.
UE	Cap*	(975)		Corrective budget realignment	Revised TFL funding allocation for bridge works.
UE	Cap	22		Corrective budget realignment	Works at the former Hornsey Waterworks funded by Section 278 income.
UE	Cap	36		Corrective budget realignment	Housing and Planning Delivery grant allocation for 2008/09 for capital.

9. APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO SERVE ON CABINET COMMITTEES AND HARINGEY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP (HSP) BODIES

9.1 We considered a report which advised us of the need to make appointments to Cabinet Committees and Haringey Strategic Partnership bodies consequent upon changes to our membership.

9.2 We report for information that we agreed the following changes –

- That Councillor Kober and Councillor Reith (as Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Children and Young People respectively) be appointed to serve on the Procurement Committee.

- That Councillor Kober (as Leader of the Council) be appointed as Chair of the Haringey Strategic Partnership Board and of its Performance Management Board as well as a member of the HSP Children and Young People Strategic Partnership Board.
- That Councillor Reith (as Cabinet Member for Children and Young People) be appointed to serve as Chair of the HSP Children and Young People Strategic Partnership Board.
- That Councillor Cooke (as Cabinet Member for Community Cohesion and Involvement) be appointed to serve on the HSP Better Places Strategic Partnership Board.

Note by the Head of Local Democracy & Member Services

There was one other consequential change to the membership of a Cabinet Committee not reported at the meeting –

- Councillor Cooke (as Cabinet Member for Community Cohesion and Involvement) becomes Chair of the Cabinet Voluntary Sector Committee.

10. DELEGATED DECISIONS AND SIGNIFICANT ACTIONS

- 10.1 We were informed of the following significant actions taken by Directors under delegated powers -

Assistant Chief Executive (People and Organizational Development)

Council Wide Training Programme: Working at the Political Interface – Approval to the award of the contract to SOLACE Enterprises.

This page is intentionally left blank

Unitary Development Plan - Proposed Policies to be Saved Post July 2009

Part One Strategic Policies

Strategy for the UDP Policies to be Saved																									
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with						Policies that the government will also have particular regard to				Comment													
G1	Environment	✓	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	✓	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	✓	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	.	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	.	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	.	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	.	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	.	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	.	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	.	Policies for waste management	✓	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	2A the overall strategy – Policy 2A.1 Sustainability criteria sets out	
G2	Development and urban design																								
G3	Housing supply																								
G4	Employment																								
G5	Town centre hierarchy																								
G6	Strategic transport links																								

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (1) Areas of Change

Areas of Change Policies to be Saved																								
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with						Policies that the government will also have particular regard to					Comment											
AC1	The Heartlands/ Wood Green	✓	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	-	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	✓	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	-	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	✓	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	✓	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	-	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	-	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	-	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	-	Policies for waste management	-	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	Policy should be saved as sets out development framework for the area. Supports Haringey Heartlands Development Framework (2005).
AC2	Tottenham International	✓	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	-	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	✓	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	-	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	✓	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	✓	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	-	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	-	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	-	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	-	Policies for waste management	-	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	Policy should be saved as sets out development framework for the area. Supports Tottenham Hale Urban Centre Masterplan SPD (2007).
AC3	Tottenham High Road Regeneration Corridor	✓	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	-	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	-	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	-	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	✓	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	✓	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	-	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	-	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	✓	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	-	Policies for waste management	-	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	Policy should be saved as sets out development permitted to promote regeneration. Supports Tottenham High Road Regeneration Strategy (2002).

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

AC4	The Bridge - NDC	✓	-	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy should be saved as sets out development permitted to promote regeneration in the area, and supports NDC Annual Delivery Plan 06/07.
AC5	Finsbury Park	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy should be saved as it supports the regeneration of the area and the preservation of MOL in partnership with Islington and Hackney.

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (2) Development and Urban Design

Development and urban design Policies to be saved													
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with					Policies that the government will also have particular regard to				Comment		
UD1	Planning statements	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy should be saved as it sets out the requirements for development proposals in submitting planning statements and applications.
UD2	Sustainable design and construction	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	Policy should be saved as it details Council requirements for planning applications. Supports London Plan policy 4A.3 and Mayors Sustainable Design and Construction SPD, and promotes Haringey's Greenest Borough Strategy.
UD3	General principles	✓	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy should be saved as it sets out the general design principles which development

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Development and urban design Policies to be saved													
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with						Policies that the government will also have particular regard to		Comment			
		Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	Policies for waste management	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	
UD4	Quality design	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Proposals should address. Links to UD1 in how applications should demonstrate impacts of development. Policy should be saved as it sets out the design elements that should be addressed in development proposals. Supports London Plan Policy 4B.1 Design Principles for a Compact City.
UD5	Strategic views	✓	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Supports London Plan View Management Framework (July 2007) – Management Plan 1 London Panorama: Alexandra Palace, providing local detail on view protection corridor.
UD6	Mixed use									✓			Policy sets out the

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Development and urban design Policies to be saved													
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with					Policies that the government will also have particular regard to	Comment					
		Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	Policies for waste management	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	
UD7	developments Waste storage	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	considerations to be taken into account in providing a range of different uses on-site. Policy sets out requirements for development proposals and supports SPG8a Waste and Recycling (2006).
UD8	Planning obligations	✓	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it outlines approach to s.106 agreements. Supports SPG10a The Negotiation, management and monitoring of Planning Obligations (2006).
UD9	Locations for tall buildings	-	-	✓	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it sets out assessment criteria for tall buildings in the Borough. Supports London Plan policy 4B.9 Tall buildings – location and identifies Tottenham

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Development and urban design Policies to be saved													
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with							Policies that the government will also have particular regard to				Comment
		Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	Policies for waste management	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	International and Haringey Heartlands as suitable locations. Policy to be saved as it sets out the criteria for considering planning applications. Policy to be saved as it sets out the criteria for considering planning applications.
UD10	Advertisements	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-		
UD11	Telecommunications Equipment	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-		
Strategy for the UDP Policies to be Deleted													

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (3) Environment

Environment Policies to be saved																								
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with						Policies that the government will also have particular regard to			Comment													
ENV1	Flood protection	✓	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	-	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	✓	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	-	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	-	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	✓	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	-	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	-	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	-	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	-	Policies for waste management	-	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	Policy to be saved as it identifies flood risk zones and criteria for the consideration of planning applications for proposals within flood risk zones. Is consistent with PSS25 Development and Flood Risk (Dec. 2006)
ENV2	Surface water runoff	✓		-		✓		-		-		✓		-									Supports London Plan policy 4A.14 Sustainable drainage	
ENV4	Enhancing and protecting the water environment	✓		✓		✓		-		-		✓		-									Policy to be saved as it identifies measures to be used by Council to protect river corridors within the Borough in assoc. with Environment	

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

																				Agency and Lee Valley Regional Park Authority. Supports GLA's Blue Ribbon Network.
ENV5	Works affecting water courses	✓	-						✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it defines policy for development affecting watercourses.
ENV6	Noise pollution	-	-						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out policy for location of noise sensitive and noise polluting development.
ENV7	Air, water and light pollution	✓	-						✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it sets out Council's methods for controlling pollution. Supports Haringey's Air Quality Action Plan and Mayor's Air Quality Strategy.
ENV8	Facilities for alternative refuelling infrastructure	-	-						✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it sets out criteria for consideration of planning applications.
ENV9	Mitigating climate change: energy efficiency	✓							✓											Policy to be deleted as it is no longer in conformity with London Plan 2008, which promotes a 20% renewable energy target.
ENV10	Mitigating climate change: renewable energy	✓	-						✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be deleted as it is no longer in conformity with London Plan 2008, which promotes a 20% renewable energy target.
ENV11	Contaminated land	-	-						✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it details criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
ENV12	Development at or near premises involving use or storage of hazardous	-	-						✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it identifies circumstances for the consideration of planning applications.

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

ENV13	substances Sustainable waste management	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	Policy to be saved as details Haringey's existing waste management strategy prior to adoption of North London Joint Waste Strategy which is under preparation. Supports London Plan policy 4A.22 Spatial policies for waste management.
-------	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Strategy for the UDP Policies to be Deleted																
ENV3	Water conservation															Delete Policy repeats UD2 (i) Does not add anything

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (4) Housing

Housing Policies to be saved																								
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with						Policies that the government will also have particular regard to				Comment												
HSG1	New housing developments	-	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	-	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	✓	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	-	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	-	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	✓	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	✓	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	-	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	-	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	-	Policies for waste management	-	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	Policy to be saved as UDP adopted in 2006 so housing numbers and London Plan target are still relevant, and as yet there is no updated London wide Housing Capacity Study.
HSG2	Change of use to residential	-		-		✓		-		-		✓		-										Policy to be saved as it identifies where a change of use to housing will be considered.
HSG3	Protecting existing housing	-		-		✓		-		-		✓		-										Policy to be saved as it sets out Council requirements for change of use from housing.
HSG4	Affordable housing	-		-		✓		-		-		✓		-										Supports London Plan Policy 3A.9 Affordable housing targets and Policy 3A.11

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

HSG5	Hostel accommodation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Affordable housing thresholds.
HSG6	HMO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it details circumstances when hostel accommodation will be permitted.
HSG7	Housing for special needs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it sets out criteria for considering planning applications for HMOs.
HSG8	Gypsies and travellers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it identifies circumstances for permitted proposals.
HSG10	Dwelling mix	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it identifies criteria for considering planning applications.
HSG11	Restricted conversion areas	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved consistent with London Plan Policy 3A.5 Housing choice and Haringey's Housing Needs Assessment 2007.
																			Policy to be saved as locations identified for where planning applications will not be granted permission.

Strategy for the UDP Policies to be Deleted																				
HSG9	Density Standards																			Policy to be deleted. Reference to London Plan outdated. Density standards now set out in Table 3A.2. and parking standards outlined in Annex 4 of the London Plan

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (5) Employment

Employment Policies to be saved																								
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with						Policies that the government will also have particular regard to				Comment												
EMP1	DEA – Regeneration Areas	✓	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	-	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	✓	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	-	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	✓	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	✓	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	-	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	-	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	✓	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	-	Policies for waste management	-	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	Policy to be saved as relates to AC1 and AC2 with regard to industrial and related uses in regeneration areas of Haringey Heartland and Tottenham Hale.
EMP2	DEA – Industrial Locations	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as uses identified for consideration of planning applications.	
EMP3	DEA – Employment Locations	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as identifies criteria for the consideration of planning applications.	
EMP4	Non employment generating uses	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as identifies criteria for change of use and is consistent with Mayor's SPG on Industrial Land.	

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

EMP5	Promoting employment uses	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as criteria identified for consideration of planning applications for employment uses outside of DEAs.
EMP6	Car repair workshops, garages and car washes	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for consideration of planning applications for car associated uses.
EMP7	Live/work units	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for considering planning applications.

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (6) Town Centres and Retailing

Town Centres and Retailing Policies to be saved													
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with						Policies that the government will also have particular regard to				Comment	
		Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	Policies have regard to the area	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	Policies for waste management	Policies that promote renewable energy; reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	
TCR1	Development in town centres and local shopping centres	-	-	✓	-	✓	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	Policy to be saved as criteria identified for the consideration of planning applications.
TCR2	Out of town centre development	✓	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Consistent with PPS6 and supports London Plan policy 2A.8 Town centres.
TCR3	Protection of shops in the town centres	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	Policy to be saved as it identifies criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
TCR4	Protection of local shops	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	Policy to be saved as criteria for consideration in planning applications is detailed.
TCR5	A3 Restaurants and cafes, A4 drinking	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it details considerations that Council must take into account when considering

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (7) Movement

Movement Policies to be saved															
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with					Policies that the government will also have particular regard to				Comment				
M2	Public transport network	✓	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as requirements support London Plan Policy 3C.1 Integrating transport and development and Policy 3C.2 Matching development to transport capacity.
M3	New development location and accessibility	✓	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as identifies criteria for assessing planning applications and supports London Plan policy 3C.3 Sustainable transport in London.
M5	Protection, improvement and creation of pedestrian and cycle routes	✓	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it supports Well-being Framework (HSP), and Mayor's Transport Strategy

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

M6	Road hierarchy	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as Council is required to define and manage a road hierarchy, and is consistent with the London Plan and Mayor's Transport Strategy.
M7	Road schemes	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
M8	Access roads	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for consideration of planning applications.
M9	Car-free residential developments	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as criteria identified for consideration of car free development proposals.
M10	Parking for development	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out maximum parking standards for Borough consistent with London Plan policy 3C.23 Parking Strategy.
M11	Rail and waterborne transport	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as supports London Plan policy 3C.25 Freight Strategy.
M12	Mini cabs	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it details criteria for the assessment of planning applications.

Strategy for the UDP Policies to be Deleted

M1	Improvements																		List of infrastructure is outdated – New list provided in London Plan Table 3C.1
M4	Pedestrians and cyclists																		Repetition of M3 (c) which refers to the design and location of buildings on encouraging walking and cycling.

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (8) Open Space

Open Space Policies to be saved																								
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with						Policies that the government will also have particular regard to					Comment											
OS1	Green belt	✓	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	✓	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	✓	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	-	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	-	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	✓	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	-	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	✓	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	-	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	-	Policies for waste management	-	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	Policy to be saved as protects green belt and identifies criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
OS2	Metropolitan open land	✓		✓		✓		-		-		✓		-										Policy to be saved as protects MOL and sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
OS3	Significant local open land	✓		✓		✓		-		-		✓		-										Policy to be saved as protects SLOL and sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
OS4	Alexandra Park and Palace	✓		-		✓		-		-		✓		-										Policy to be saved as protects the site and surrounds of Alexandra Palace and sets out criteria for the consideration of planning

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

OS5	Development adj. to open spaces	-	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the development of land close to the edge of green belt.
OS6	Ecologically valuable sites and their corridors	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for consideration of planning applications. Supports London Plan policy 3D.14 Biodiversity and nature conservation and Haringey's Biodiversity Action Plan.
OS7	Historic parks, gardens and landscapes	-	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
OS8	Heritage land	-	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
OS9	Lee Valley regional park	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications and is consistent with Lee Valley Regional Park Plan.
OS10	Other open space	-	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy to be saved as protects open space and identifies criteria for consideration of planning applications.
OS11	Biodiversity	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for consideration of planning applications. Supports London Plan policy 3D.14 Biodiversity and nature conservation and Haringey's Biodiversity Action Plan.
OS12	Allotments	-	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy to be saved as identifies Council policy with regard to allotments.
OS13	Playing fields	-	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	-	✓	Policy to be saved as sets out

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

OS14	Burial space	-	-	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
OS15	Open space deficiency and new developments	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out the criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
OS16	Green chains	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as supports Open Space SPD.
OS17	Tree protection, tree masses and spines	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as it protects the green chain network.
																				Policy to be saved as sets out the criteria for the consideration of planning applications that affect trees.

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (9) Creative, Leisure and Tourism

Creative, Leisure and Tourism Policies to be saved																							
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with					Policies that the government will also have particular regard to	Comment															
CLT1	Provision of new facilities	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	✓	Policies have regard to the area	✓	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	✓	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	✓	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	✓	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	✓	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	✓	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	✓	Policies for waste management	✓	Policies that promote renewable energy; reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	✓	Policy to be saved as identifies criteria for the consideration of planning applications for new facilities.
CLT2	Protecting existing facilities	-	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as supports Cultural Strategy and is consistent with London Plan policy 3A.18 Protection and enhancement of social infrastructure and community facilities.	
CLT3	Social clubs	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.	
CLT4	Hotels, boarding houses and guest houses	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.	

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

CLT5	Retention of existing tourist facilities, improvement of existing tourist facilities and the encouragement of new facilities	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out Council policy with regard to tourist facilities.
------	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (10) Community Well-Being

**Community Well-Being
Policies to be saved**

Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with						Policies that the government will also have particular regard to					Comment
CW1	New community/ health facilities	-	✓	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
CW2	Protecting existing community facilities	-	✓	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (11) Conservation

Conservation Policies to be saved									
Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with						Policies that the government will also have particular regard to	Comment
CSV1	Development in conservation areas	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	Policies have regard to the area	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	<p>Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing</p> <p>Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs</p> <p>Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres</p> <p>Policies for waste management</p> <p>Policies that promote renewable energy; reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources</p>	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
CSV2	Listed buildings	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
CSV3	Locally listed buildings and designated sites of industrial heritage interest	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
CSV4	Alterations and extensions to listed buildings	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
CSV5	Alterations and extensions in	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

CSV6	conservation areas Demolition of listed buildings	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	planning applications. Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
CSV7	Demolition in conservation areas	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.
CSV8	Archaeology	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	Policy to be saved as sets out criteria for the consideration of planning applications.

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Part Two (12) Implementation, Monitoring and Review

Implementation, Monitoring and Review
Policies to be saved

Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with							Policies that the government will also have particular regard to	Comment															
IMR1	Enforcement	✓	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	✓	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	✓	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	.	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	.	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	✓	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	.	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	.	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	.	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	.	Policies for waste management	.	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	.	Policy to be saved as details the requirements for enforcement action by Council.

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

Summary of policies proposed to be deleted

Policy	Subject	Government criteria for policies to comply with					Policies that the government will also have particular regard to					Comment	
ENV3	Water conservation	Where appropriate there is a clear strategy	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	Policies are in general conformity with the RSS	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy (where it has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Policies that support the delivery of housing incl. up to date affordable housing policy and infrastructure to support housing	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries in UDPs	Policies that support economic development and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	Policies for waste management	Policies that promote renewable energy, reduce impact on climate change and safeguard water resources	Repeats UD2 (j) Does not add anything in terms of policy.
HSG9	Density Standards												Outdated reference to London Plan - London Plan Density Matrix is Table 3A.2 rather than 4B.1. Parking standards now outlined in Annex 4 of the London Plan. London Plan Policy 3A.3 Maximising the potential of sites - provides for higher density development on sites compatible with local context, design principles and public transport capacity.
M1	Improvements												List of infrastructure is outdated – New list provided in London Plan Table 3C.1

Appendix 1: Schedule of Saved Policies

M4	Pedestrians and cyclists																			Repetition of M3 (c) which refers to the design and location of buildings on encouraging walking and cycling.
ENV9	Mitigating climate change: Energy Efficiency																			Policy to be deleted as it is no longer in conformity with London Plan 2008, which promotes a 20% renewable energy target.
ENV10	Mitigating Climate Change: Renewable Energy																			Policy to be deleted as it is no longer in conformity with London Plan 2008, which promotes a 20% renewable energy target.

This page is intentionally left blank